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#### Abstract

As a verb-second language, one expects Middle Cornish to allow only a single argument/complement to appear in the left periphery of affirmative root clauses. Object personal pronouns never occur in the left periphery, but a full nonadjunct XP and subject personal pronoun do, in fact, coöccur in 329 clauses in our corpus-in that order, in all but a single token-, presumably owing to poetic overdetermination, which alters the morphosyntax and surface configuration in order to enable the required syllable-count or end-rhyme in the verse line. George 1990 \& 1991, based upon an analysis of Beunans Meriasek, finds five tokens of full object DP and subject personal pronoun which coöccur in the left periphery, which, he states, are not motivated by poetic overdetermination. He concludes, on that basis, that the construction is generated by the grammar. In this paper, we collect all of the tokens of this construction in the verse corpus of Middle Cornish and propose that they are all, ultimately, motivated by poetic overdetermination, not only in order to enable the required syllable-count or end-rhyme, but sometimes also to encode pragmatic information. ${ }^{1}$
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[^0]
## Relaxed verb-second in Middle Cornish

§1. It is now well established that the configuration of affirmative root clauses in the 'Middle' periods of all of the Brittonic languages was verb-second (V2); see Willis 1998: 50-101 for Middle Welsh and Borsley, Tallerman, \& Willis 2007: 290-291 for parallel data from Middle Breton. The latter also state that "the "abnormal" pattern is the dominant main-clause order in all medieval Brythonic languages, and its properties are virtually identical in all three' (290). Tokens from Middle Cornish are: ${ }^{2}$
(1) a. Subject-initial $\left(\mathrm{PA}^{3} 8\right.$ §18.1 $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{a}}=137\right)$ : $^{4}$
[an ioul] a trylyas sperys
DEF devil AfF turn.3.SG.PRET spirit
'[The devil] changed (his) mind'.
b. Object-initial (RD 72.909): ${ }^{5}$
[guyr] a gousaf thomas
truth AFF speak.1.sG.Pres Thomas
'I speak [truth], Thomas'.
c. Adverb(ial)-initial (PA 32 § $104.3^{a}=829$ ):

| $[d a]$ | $y$ | won | $y$ | vos | $a$ | ras |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| good | AFF | know.1.sG.PRES | 3.sG.M.Poss | be.VN | of | grace |

'I know [well] that he is of grace'.

[^1]An important diagnostic for the V2 status of a language is subject-verb inversion, whereby the subject appears in post-verbal position when the fronted XP is an object or adverb(ial), as illustrated in (2b-c) and (3c).
§2. We note, however, that a post-verbal full subject DP is essentially non-existent in our corpus. There is no clear such token with a fronted object, and the only potential token with a fronted adverbial is very doubtful. ${ }^{6}$ This is probably due to the fact that our corpus is almost entirely in verse of quadrisyllabic or heptasyllabic lines ${ }^{7}$ and that end-rhyme is required. ${ }^{8}$ It, furthermore, is composed mostly of dramatic texts in which speakers usually employ pronominal subjects.
§3. What does establish the V2 status of Middle Cornish is the presence of the affirmative particle and its identical distribution to that in Middle Welsh and Middle Breton, e.gg.:
(2) Middle Welsh
a. Subject-initial (PKM 54.2):
[Caswallon] a glywei hynny

Caswallon AFF hear.3.SG.IMPF DIST
'Caswallon would hear that . . ’’
b. Object-initial (BR 1.18):

A [chyngor] a gymerth Madawc
\& counsel AFF take.3.SG.PRET Madog
'And Madog took counsel . . .'
c. Adverb(ial)-initial (PKM 78.17):
[Yna] y magwyt y mab yn y llys
then AFF raise.PRET.IMPS DEF boy in DEF court
'Then the boy was raised in the court . . .'
(3) Middle Breton
a. Subject-initial (BSN 242.45):
[Me] a ia breman dre an bro
1.SG AFF go.3.SG.PRES now through DEF land 'I go now through the world'.

[^2](a) [maraw] y 's guylfyth hy thyr dead AFF 3.SG.F.OB see. 3.SG.FUT3.SG.F.Poss land '. . . her country will see her dead.'

We have considerable doubts about this reconstruction and note that George 2006: 218 does not attempt to translate any of this line save for maraw 'dead'.
${ }^{7}$. The exception is the $36 / 41$ lines of the Charter endorsement.
${ }^{8}$. We note that stress has no role to play in Middle Cornish syllabic verse and that stressed and unstressed syllables may rhyme with each other; see Bruch 2009: 60-86 for a detailed discussion of rhyme and metre in Middle Cornish verse.
b. Object-initial (BSG 90.783):
[an trous] a clevaf spes def noise aff hear.1.sG.PRES clearly
'I hear the noise clearly'.
c. Adverb(ial)-initial (BSC 84 §13):
hac [en continant] ez aparissas an eal dezy
\& immediately AFF appear.3.SG.PRET DEF angel to.3.SG.F
'. . . and the angel immediately appeared to her'.
§4. We adopt the cartographic approach to syntax and follow the current communis opinio, e.g., Hinterhölzl \& Petrova 2010: 320-321, in understanding the hierarchical architecture of the left periphery of the root clause to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[_ { \text { framep } } \left[_ { \text { forceeP } } \left[_ { \text { AbTopp } } \left[\left[_ { \text { contrTopp } } \left[\left[_ { \text { Focp } } \left[\text { FamTopp } \left[\left[_{\text {FinP ... }}\right]\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right. \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Within this framework, FrameP is the locus of scene-setting locatival and temporal adverb(ial)s and hanging topics; ForceP is the locus of markers of illocutionary force and clause-typing; AbTopP (Aboutness Topic) is the locus of topical XPs that are 'newly introduced, newly changed or newly returned to’ (Givón 1983: 8; see also Frascarelli \& Hinterhölzl 2007: esp. 88); ContrTopP (Contrastive Topic) is the locus of XPs 'that [induce] alternatives which have no impact on the focus value and create oppositional pairs with respect to other topics' (Frascarelli \& Hinterhölzl 2007: 88; see also Kuno 1976; Büring 1999); FocP (Focus) is the locus of XPs that indicate contrastive or new information focus; FamTopP (Familiar Topic) is the locus of 'a given or accessible (cf. Chafe 1987) constituent, which is typically destressed and realised in a pronominal form (Pesetsky 1987)' (Frascarelli \& Hinterhölzl 2007: 88); and FinP (Finite) expresses the finiteness or non-finiteness of the clause.
§5. The V2 phenomenon is triggered by an uninterpretable feature located in Fin which draws the verb to that node in order to be checked. ${ }^{9}$ The feature in Fin also bears an Edge Feature, which requires SpecFinP to be lexicalised, though not necessarily by a subject (e.gg., Mohr 2009; Holmberg 2015); ${ }^{10}$ thus an argument or complement of the finite verb appears to the left of the verb, e.g., with fronting of the direct object: ${ }^{11}$

[^3](5)

§6. Willis 1998: 190-193 demonstrates that the presence of the affirmative particle in Fin with an XP to its left provides evidence to language acquirers that the XP is in the left periphery of the clause, thus allowing for a V2 grammar to be constructed. The loss of the particle, however, removes evidence for language acquirers that the language is V 2 , and they may construct a grammar with a different clausal configuration. Willis argues that this loss also causes the Edge Feature requirement to be dropped, thus allowing for the verb-initial order of Modern Welsh to be constructed by language acquirers. The presence of the affirmative particle, then, provides good evidence for the analysis of Middle Cornish as V2. ${ }^{12}$

[^4]§7. Familiar V2 languages such as contemporary Dutch and German are 'strict'. Within syntactic cartography, the verb and Left Edge XP move out of FinP to ForceP in such languages (e.gg., Poletto 2002; Roberts 2010; Biberauer \& Roberts 2015), thus severely limiting the number of constituents which can appear to the left of the verb:

Other V2 languages are 'relaxed'. In such languages, which include the 'Middle' Brittonic languages, the verb and Left Edge XP do not move to ForceP; thus numerous positions in the left periphery are available for various adverb(ial) adjuncts to occupy: ${ }^{13}$

A token, thus, with surface verb-fourth in Middle Cornish is:
(8) $\quad \mathrm{OM}$ 102.1361:

| $[l e m y n]$ | [yn hast] | $[\mathrm{me}]$ | a | 'th | kelm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$ fast 'Now, in haste, I shall bind you fast'.

In the surface configuration, then, the verb need not be in second position. For tokens of the verb in as late as sixth position in prose texts in Middle Welsh, see Eska 2020: 38-39. ${ }^{14}$
§8. Once the Edge Feature requirement has been satisfied by movement of an argument/complement to SpecFinP, it may be drawn higher into the left periphery to get a specific pragmatic reading (as outlined in $\S 4$ ), thus appearing to the left of an adverb(ial) adjunct base-generated in the left periphery, e.g.:

RD 96.1269:
$\begin{array}{lccllll}\text { [tus] } & {[y} & \text { 'n } & \text { beth] a } & \text { 'n } & \text { anclethyas } \\ \text { people } & \text { in } & \text { DEF } & \text { tomb AFF } & \text { 3.SG.M.OBJ bury.3.SG.PRET }\end{array}$
'People buried him in the tomb'.

[^5]

When this movement takes place, an unpronounced copy is left in SpecFinP, ${ }^{15}$ thus creating an intervention effect and blocking further arguments/complements from moving into the left periphery (e.gg., Roberts 2004: 316-317; Haegeman 2012: 109-111; Wolfe 2019: 122-124).

[^6]
## Poetic overdetermination in Middle Cornish verse

§9. Owing to the syllable-count and rhyming constraints of Middle Cornish versefor the rules of which, see Bruch 2005 \& 2009-, one finds that the configuration of the clause as generated by the syntax can be disturbed via poetic overdetermination, whereby the surface configuration is altered to result in structures that appear to be ungrammatical or, at least, uncalled for by the grammar. Examples include the distorted syntax of Old Norse skaldic verse (O'Neil 2001) and Old Saxon verse (Somers \& Dubenion-Smith 2014) and the deviant behaviour of clitics in Serbian folk songs (Reindl \& Franks 2000). In Insular Celtic, examples include the deployment of non-initial absolute verbal forms in Middle Welsh poetry (Manning 2001: 538-557), non-configurational word order in Old Irish poetry (e.g., Watkins 1995: 117-125), and the use of an initial copula in the Bergin's Rule construction in Old Irish though the lexical verb appears at the end of the clause (e.g., Eska 2008: 47-48 and references cited therein). ${ }^{16}$ As Manning 2001: 556-557 notes, the results of poetic overdetermination are not 'haphazard', but are 'organized and systematic deformations' (similarly O'Neil 2001: 345-352).
§10. When both the subject and direct object are full DPs, they may occur in either order in pre-verbal position, e.gg.: ${ }^{17}$
(10) a. Subject followed by direct object (PA 4 §5.1 ${ }^{\text {ab }}=33-34$ ):

| [ihesu crist] | [mur gerense]. | 3e vab den a | 3yswezas |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jesus Christ | great love | to | son man AFF | show.3.SG.PRET | 'Jesus Christ showed great love to mankind'.

b. Direct object followed by subject (PA $16 \S 42.1^{\text {ab }}=329-330$ ):

| $[$ dew | 3en] | [crist] | a | 3anvonas | 3e berna boys ha dewas |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | man Christ | AFF | send.3.SG.PRET | to buy.VN food \& | drink | 'Christ sent two men to buy food and drink'.

§11. When the subject is a personal pronoun, it is stressed and occurs in pre-verbal position in the left periphery of the clause; however, an object agreement marker ${ }^{18}$ appears as a clitic attached to the affirmative particle, ${ }^{19}$ e.g.:

[^7]$[n y] ~ a ~[' t h] ~ w o r ~ t h e ~ p e n ~ a ~ ' n ~ g l u a s ~$
[

Personal pronouns that are direct objects are stressed when an argument of an affirmative imperative verb ${ }^{20}$-which is generally agreed to appear high in the left periphery (e.g., Poletto \& Zanuttini 2003: 191; we follow Rizzi 1997: 283, who places it in Force)and follow the verb, ${ }^{21}$ e.g.:

| OM 190.2505-2506: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eugh | th'y | drehy | hep | lettye |
| go.2.PL.IMPV | to.3.SG.M.OBJ cut.vN | without | delay |  |
| ha | [musurough | ef] | yn | len |
| \& | measure.2.PL. IMPV | 3.SG.M.OBJ ADV | faithful |  |

'Go to cut it, without delay, and measure it faithfully!'
There are, however, tokens in which the direct object personal pronoun precedes an imperative verb owing to poetic overdetermination, e.g.:
(13) OM 178.2348:

| ha 'gas | myghtern $\quad$ [ef | synseugh $]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \& 2.PL.poss | king | 3.SG.M.OBJ hold.2.PL.IMPV |
| 'and hold him (as) your king!' |  |  |

An examination of the context in which this direct object personal pronoun occurs before the imperative verb (as also in 1.2350 ) demonstrates that it is motivated, like the line-final placement of the imperative verbs in 11. 2347, 2348, and 2350, by the need to enable an end-rhyme in -eugh:

[^8](b) OM 146.1933:

| a | 's | drens | the | ierusalem |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AFF | 3.PL.OBJ | bring.3.SG.IMPV | to | Jerusalem |

'Let him bring them to Jerusalem!'

Brown 2001: 53, writing of revived Cornish, labels this construction as 'a literary style'.

| OM 178.2346-2352: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2346 | arlythy my a'gas pys salmon ov map koroneugh | Lords, I pray you, crown my son Solomon, |
| 2348 | ha'gas myghtern ef synseugh hedre vyugh byv y'n bys ma | and hold him (as) your king while you live in this world. |
| 2350 | kepar ha my ef gorthyeugh rag dev a'n dysquethas theugh | Like me, honour him, for God declared him to you, |
| 2352 | ha'y volnogeth yv henna | and that is his will. |

§12. One also finds that subject personal pronouns and full non-adjunct XP arguments/complements can coöccur in pre-verbal position. In such instances, in all but a single token in our corpus-an exception that is discussed in $\S 43-$, the full non-adjunct XP precedes the subject personal pronoun, which always occurs immediately before the affirmative particle.
§13. One might initially suspect that it is the full non-adjunct XP which is displaced via poetic overdetermination from post-verbal position in order to enable the required syllable-count or end-rhyme, the subject personal pronoun having been drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement. We suggest, however, that it is usually the full non-adjunct XP that is drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Edge Feature requirement, after which it is drawn higher into the left periphery in order to get a specific pragmatic reading. The composer then substitutes the sequence of affirmative particle + conjugated verb with the sequence of subject personal pronoun + affirmative particle +3 . sg. verb via poetic overdetermination. ${ }^{22}$ It is important to note that this is not simply a displacement, but, at least in the 1 . and 2 . persons and 3 . person plural, a morphosyntactic alteration. Consider the clause in (15):
PC 236.206:
[the $\quad$ worthyby]
2.SG.OBJ
answer.Vn

1.SG aff | AFF |
| :--- |
| do.3.SG.PRES |

The V2 phenomenon, which allows only one argument/complement-which we understand to be the VP in this clause-to move into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement, would have generated the clause in (16):

| *[the | worthyby] | $a$ | wraf | vy |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2.SG.OBJ | answer.Vn | AFF | do.1.SG.PRES | 1.SG |
| 'I shall [answer you].' |  |  |  |  |

[^9]The auxiliary verb is conjugated for the 1 . singular and the postposed subject pronoun is added so as to yield a heptasyllabic line. We, thus, find the following morphosyntactic substitution:
(17) a wraf vy $\rightarrow$ me a wra

This substitution is made because vy does not enable the required end-rhyme in PC 236.206-208:
(18) 206 the worthyby me a wra I shall answer you, the'n arluth the wul yma the Lord has to do, 208 dre the voth ganse nebes by your will, something with them.
§14. In Meelen's 2017 study of direct object-initial clauses in a set of Middle Welsh prose texts, she concludes that such clauses occur only when the direct object is a Familiar Topic or is under Focus. In such clauses, the direct object occupies SpecFamTopP or SpecFocP, respectively, in the clausal architecture. In the 'multiple pre-verbal arguments construction' (MPVAC), ${ }^{23}$ which is the topic of this paper, the matter is not so straightforward owing to the fact that our corpus is entirely in verse.

Meelen 2017: 170 notes that a number of direct object-initial clauses in her corpus contain a demonstrative pronoun as the direct object, which continues the topic of the immediately preceding discourse. In a passage in YGuE 13.365-386, for example, Geraint is in a discussion about the land holdings of Earl Ynywl, at the end of which he says:
(19) Ie . . . a uo yma o'r a dylyo uod yn vr y Ynywl, gwrhead itaw o'r lle.

Good . . . all those here who should be vassals of Ynywl, let them pay him homage here and now. (trans. Davies 2007: 149)

The narration continues with:
(20) YGuE 13.386:

A [hynny] a oruc y gwyr oll \& dist aff do.3.SG.PRET DEF man.PL all 'And all the men did [that]'.

The direct object is a Familiar Topic, and so occupies SpecFamTopP in the clausal architecture:

§15. Such constructions also occur in Middle Cornish. In a scene that occurs early in Resurrexio Domini, Joseph tells Pilate that Jesus' body has been placed in the tomb at

[^10]RD 4.37-39, after which Pilate, noting that Jesus had claimed that his body would rise again at RD 4.43-48, demands to know whether it remains in the tomb. Joseph replies:
(22) RD 6.49:
[an corf] a worsyn $y$ ' $n$ beth
def body aff put.1.Pl.PRET in DEF tomb 'We put [the body] in the tomb'.
 beth[][J]J]]

In this clause, however, beth 'tomb' enables the required end-rhyme, so poetic overdetermination has no role to play:
(23) an corfa worsyn y'n beth We put the body in the tomb, 50 dre henna ioy hep thyweth through that (there is) joy without end, sur yn y wlas surely, in his country.
§16. There are numerous clauses similar to the Middle Welsh clause in (19) in the Middle Cornish verse corpus, but they can be superficially different. At BK 110.10641068, for example, St. Kea addresses Teudar as follows:
(24) 1064 pur wyer ny'th car neb lyes rag the debal vanerow
1066 [henna] me re aspeas
mar debal los ny 'fyas
1068 a pe vas the oberow
Indeed, not many people love you because of your evil ways
[That] I have noticed.
You would not have such ill repute, if your deeds were good.

Under the view that the direct object henna has been drawn into the left periphery in l. 1066 to satisfy the Edge Feature requirement, this would have yielded the poetic line in (25), which, however, would not enable the required end-rhyme.
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { (25) } & \text { *[henna } & \text { re aspyys } & \text { vy } \\ \text { DIST } & \text { PERF notice.1.SG.PRET } & \text { 1.SG } \\ & \text { 'I have noticed [that]'. }\end{array}$
In order to remedy this deficit, the composer substituted me re aspeas for re aspyys vy via poetic overdetermination.

In clauses in which the direct object demonstrative pronoun can enable the endrhyme, it is not necessarily fronted, despite being a Familiar Topic. At OM 44.577-586, God the Father makes the following speech after the murder of Abel by Cain:
(26) otte voys mernans abel Behold the voice of the death of Abel,

578 the vroder prest ov kelwel a'n dor warnaf pup teller your brother, now calling
from the ground to me everywhere.
mylleges nefre re by hag ol an tyr a bywfy yv mylleges y'th ober ffrut da byner re thokko na glase bys gorfen beys ov molleth a rof thyso molleth ov eleth kefrys

May you ever be cursed, and all the land that you may possess is cursed in your deed.
May it never produce good fruit nor grow green until the end of the world.
I give my curse to you, my angels' curse also.

Cain responds:

## (27) OM 44.587-592:

the lef arluth a glewaf Your voice, Lord, I hear,
588 saw the face my ny welaf but your face I do not see, sur er ov gevw surely to my woe.
590 ellas my a wor [henna] Alas! I know [that]:
bones ov fegh moy yn ta that my $\sin$ is much greater than the mercy of God.

In this passage, though henna is a Familiar Topic, it remains in its base-generated, clause-final position in order to enable the required end-rhyme in $-a .^{24}$ In this clause, the subject is drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Edge Feature requirement:

| my | $a$ | wor | [henna] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1.SG | AFF | know.3.SG.PRES | DIST |

'I know [that]'.

This is not always the case, however. At PC 298.977-982, for example, Caiaphas addresses Prince Annas as follows:
(29) ha why annas ov def ker And you, Annas, my dear son-in-law,

978 dyswethough bos pryns somper rak dyswyl an cristenyon
980 dreugh bys omma thu'm tyller
an harlot guas a leuer
$982 y$ vos myghter yuthewon show yourself to be a prince without equal for destroying the Christians.
Bring here to my room the knave fellow who says he is king of the Jews.

Prince Annas immediately replies:
(30) hep dout [henna] my a wra Without a doubt, I shall do [that],

984 rag thym yma tokyn da rak y gafus for I have a good token for taking him.

[^11]As in (24), the direct object henna is drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement, and the MPVAC has been employed by the composer because expected hep dout henna a wraf vy would not enable the required end-rhyme:

| hep | dout | [henna] | my | a | wra |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| without | doubt | DIST | 1.sG | AFF | do.3.SG.PRES |
| 'Without a doubt, I shall do [that]'. |  |  |  |  |  |

Yet, had henna not been drawn from its base-generated clause-final position, it would have enabled the end-rhyme in -a. We take this as evidence for our proposal that it is the full non-adjunct XP that typically is drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement.
§17. There are 11 sure-and three further potential-tokens of such a structure in our corpus. They are collected in $\S 48$. That our analysis is correct is confirmed by the at least 20 tokens of clauses in which a non-line-final XP is fronted into the left periphery in the MPVAC ${ }^{25}$-hence, they are not motivated by metrical constraints-, and so can only be analysed as occurring for some other purpose, e.g.:

PC 300.393:

| [henna] my a wra henna | wharre |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DIST | 1.SG AFF | do.3SG.PRES | soon |

'I shall do [that] soon'.
In this poetic line, the composer need not have employed the MPVAC, for henna a wraf vy wharre makes a good heptasyllabic line and the rhyming word remains unchanged. One can only conclude, then, that the MPVAC was employed by the composers of the Middle Cornish verse corpus for a reason aside from enabling end-rhymes. This matter is addressed in §22.
§18. One also finds fronted direct objects in the Middle Cornish verse corpus which are Aboutness Topics, e.g.:
(34) PC 468.3107-3108:

| [lyes | torn | da $]$ | $y$ | 'n |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | bys ma

'He did [many good turns] in this world for poor people'.
 re wruk] . . . the vohosugyon][]]J]]]

[^12]In a speech by Joseph at PC 468.3105-3110, similar to (23), vohosugyon enables the rhyme, so the expected V2 configuration with a single argument/complement in the left periphery occurs:

| mars yw marow ihesu ker <br> 3106 <br> neffre the weth vyth ov cher <br> [lyes torn da] y'n bys ma | If dear Jesus is dead, <br> ever the worse shall be my cheer. <br> [Many good turns] in this world |
| :---: | :---: |
| 3108re wruk the vohosugyon <br> sawye pup eghen clefyon <br> [e did for poor people. |  |
| $3110 \quad$He cured every kind of sick person |  |
| a vewhe yn bewnans da | who lived a good life. |

§19. There are also fronted direct objects that are under Focus, e.g.:

| [ihesu . . .] |  | lathas [. | [. . . nep | o |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jesus thyn |  | kill.3.SG.PRET der] | ET REL | be.3.SG.IMPF |
| 'He killed [Jesus, . . . who was our Saviour]'. |  |  |  |  |

 nep o thyn sylwader []]J]]]]]

This clause occurs in a speech by the Emperor to the Jailer in which the former reveals to the latter that Pilate condemned Jesus to death and instructs him to put Pilate in prison. Both lines enable a required rhyme, so the expected V2 configuration with a single argument/complement in the left periphery occurs:
(37)

```
RD 150.2001-2008:
    an keth guas ma gorreugh why Put this same fellow
2002 yn drok pryson the peddry
    golow na wella deffry
2004 ef yv huder
    an haccre mernans a vo
2006 me a vyn ordyne thotho
    [ihesu . . .] a lathas [. . . nep o He slew Jesus, who was
2008
        thyn sylwader]
```

Put this same fellow into a foul prison to rot that he may not see light, indeed; he is a sorcerer. The cruelest death there is I shall ordain for him. He slew Jesus, who was our Saviour.
§20. There are also numerous tokens of clauses in which a full non-adjunct XP is drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement and then further into the left periphery to be encoded as an Aboutness Topic or as under Focus, but require

[^13]that the composer employ the MPVAC in order to enable the required end-rhyme. A token that encodes Aboutness Topic is:

'You shall faithfully uphold [the laws . . . and right for all your subjects, the rights of the church, as many as they are, and the estates] as before'.

In this clause, had the composer not substituted te a lel syns for a lel synsyth via poetic overdetermination, the required end-rhyme in -yns would not have been enabled.
§21. A token in which the fronted full non-adjunct XP encodes Focus is:

GB 198.2465-2466:

| does ny | vydnas | an | vrane vras |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| come.vn NEG | want.3.SG.PRET | DEF | raven |
| [neb caryn] hy | a | gafas |  |
| some carrion | 3.SG.F | AFF | find.3.SG.PRET |

Once again, we see that had the composer not substituted hy a gafas for a gafas hy via poetic overdetermination, the required rhyme in -as would not have been enabled.
§22. The employment of the MPVAC in verse lines such as in (32) results in the introduction of a stressed pronoun. This recalls the use of overt subject pronouns in null-subject languages, which typically indicates switch reference, i.e., a change in subject, or contrastive focus, as, for example, in Spanish (Zagona 2002: 25). An inspection of the passages in which our 20 tokens occur indicates that the MPVAC can function in the same way. ${ }^{28}$ The tokens in ( $70 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{n}, \& \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{t}$ ) are clear examples of switch reference, while the tokens in ( $70 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{j}-1, \& \mathrm{o}$ ) are plausibly interpreted as examples of contrastive focus. ${ }^{29}$ For example, the clause in (32) is the first line in a speech by the Second Execu-

[^14]tioner and, thus, represents a switch in reference, while the line in (40), which occurs in the speech by King David in (41), we believe is plausibly interpreted as under focus:
(40) OM 154.2050:

| [powes] my a | vyn | powes | defry |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rest.VN 1.SG | AFF | want.3.SG.PRES |  |
| 'Indeed, I shall [rest]'. |  |  |  |

> ru'm fey hon yv cusyl fyn $2042 \begin{aligned} & \text { botler my a worhenmyn } \\ & \text { ha'th cowyth guytheugh why y }\end{aligned}$ 2044 ma na vons yn nep maner remmvys the gen tyller $2046 \quad$ war beyn tenne ha cregy

Rag my a vyn pols cuske
2048 benytha kyns ys dybry squyth of dre ver lafurye
2050 powes my a vyn defry

On my faith, that is fine advice:
Butler, I command you and your companion, that you guard them
that they not be, in any manner, removed to another place on pain of drawing and hanging.

For I will sleep a little ever before eating;
I am tired from much work. Indeed, I will rest.

Our preliminary assessment is that the MPVAC, aside from being employed to enable a rhyme, could also be employed to indicate switch reference or contrastive focus.

## Structures with the MPVAC

§23. We have examined the entirety of the Middle Cornish corpus with the exception of the Tregear homilies and Sacrament an alter. The Tregear homilies (ca. 1558) are a prose translation of Edmund Bonner's A profitable and necessary doctrine, with certayne homelyes adioyned therevnto (1555), which we find to be poor, overly hasty, and unpolished, and which tend to preserve the clausal configurations of the English exemplar to the extent possible (Eska \& Bruch 2020: 328-329 \& 335-337). ${ }^{30}$

We regard Sacrament an alter, a patristic catena translated by Thomas Stephyn from the 1576 edition of John Foxe's Actes and monuments (Frost 2019: 3), as a text which avoids some of Tregear's extensive use of English word order and lexis, as well as his frequent editorial additions and comments. Despite this, it is perhaps even more problematic as a source of information about Cornish syntax precisely because it is a more accurate-and, therefore, at times, a more literal, word-for-word or phrase-for-phrasetranslation of an English text. One remarkable feature of Stephyn's text is his frequent

[^15]retention of English clausal configuration in sentences with 3. sg. pres. ema 'is'. This verb is unusual in Cornish in that it typically precedes its subject, but in several clauses, Stephyn places ema in second position after the subject, e.g., Chrisostom ema o leverall, in e lyver 3 ha'n 3 chapter, de dignitate Sacerdotii for 'Chrysostom says, in his . . .' (Frost 2019: 90 f. $60^{\mathrm{r}}$ 12-13), translating 'Chrysostome in his booke de dignitate Sacerdotij lib. 3. cap. 3. sayth' from Foxe (Frost 2019: 91).

The texts that we have examined are: ${ }^{31}$
(42) a. The Charter endorsement (second half of the fourteenth century), a poem of 36 or 41 lines offering marriage advice. ${ }^{32}$
b. Pascon agan Arluth (ca. 1400), a poem of 2,074 lines.
c. The three verse mystery plays of the Ordinalia cycle (ca. 1400), Origo mundi ( 2,894 lines numbered OM 1-2,846), Passio Christi ( 3,316 lines numbered PC 1-3,242), and Resurrexio Domini ( 2,714 lines numbered RD $1-2,646$ ).
d. The two saints' live in verse play form, Bewnans Ke (second half of the fifteenth century; 3,306 remaining lines) and Beunans Meriasek (manuscript dated to 1504; 4,572 lines).
e. Gwreans an bys (manuscript dated to 1611, but the text likely represents a copy of older material, including over 100 lines that date back to the early fifteenth century or earlier, as they also occur in Origo mundi), a verse play of 2,553 lines.
§24. It is important to take note of the types of clauses that we count. But we first illustrate the types of clauses that we do not count as having two arguments/complements in the left periphery of the clause.

## What we do not count

§25. We do not count clauses with a vocative DP in the left periphery, since we follow Slocum 2019 in the view that they are base-generated in SpecAddrP, which she places above FocP and below AbTopP, ${ }^{33}$ e.g.:

[^16](c) PC 230.113-114:

| ol | del | vynny | [arluth | ker] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| all | a | wish.2.SG.PRES.SUBJ | lord | dear |  |
| my | $a$ | wra | yn | pup | tyller |
| 1.SG | AFF | do.3.SG.PRES | in | every | place |

'All as you wish, [dear Lord], I will do in every place'.
(43)

OM 88.1157-1158:

| [noe] | my | a | worhemmyn | thys |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Noah | 1.SG | AFF command | to.2.SG |  |  |
| ke | yn mes | a 'th | worhel | scon |  |
| go.2.SG.IMPV | out | of $2 . \mathrm{SG.POss}$ | ark | immediately |  |

§26. We do not count clauses with hanging topics, whether as subjects or direct objects, which are base-generated in SpecFrameP and are resumed in the root clause by a subject personal pronoun or clitic object agreement marker, respectively, e.gg.:
(44) a. Subject hanging topic (BK 152.1474-1475):
[penagel a sorr gans cam] ${ }_{\mathrm{i}}$
whosoever AFF 1.SG.OBJ anger.3.SG.PRES with wrong $[e f]_{i}$ a 'ngevyth tebal lam 3.SG.M AFF have.3.SG.FUT evil shock
'[Whosoever angers me with a wrong $]_{\mathrm{i}}$, $[\text { he }]_{\mathrm{i}}$ will have an evil shock'.
$\left[_{\text {FrameP }}\right.$ penagel a'm sorr gan cam $\left[_{\text {ForceP }} \sum_{\text {AbTopP }}\left[_{\text {ContrTopP }}\left[_{\text {FocP }}\left[_{\text {FamTopP }}\right.\right.\right.\right.$ ef $\left[_{\text {FinP }}\right.$ ef $\left[_{\text {Fin }} a\right.$ 'n gevyth] . . . tebal-lam][]]]]]]]
b. Direct object hanging topic (BM 136.1397-1398):
[duk kernov hag oll y dus] ${ }_{\mathrm{i}}$
Duke Cornwall \& all 3.SG.M.Poss people
in dan ov threys me a $[\text { ' } s]_{i}$ glus
under 1.SG.Poss foot.PL 1.SG AFF 3.PL.OBJ crush.3.SG.PRES '[The Duke of Cornwall and all his people $]_{i}$, I shall crush [them] $]_{i}$ under my feet'.
$\left[_{\text {FrameP }}\right.$ duk kernov hag oll y dus $\left[_{\text {ForceP }}\left[\sum_{\text {AbTopP }}\left[_{\text {ContrTopP }}\left[_{\text {FocP }}\right.\right.\right.\right.$ in dan ov threys $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { FamTopP } \\ \text { me }\end{array}\right.$ $\left[_{\text {FinP }}\right.$ me $\left[_{\text {Fin }}\right.$ a's glus] . . .]] $]$ ] $]$ ] $]$
§27. We do not count temporal or locatival scene-setting clauses, which are base-generated in SpecFrameP, e.g.:
(45)
RD 140.1847-1848:

| [pan | thueth | yn rak an |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| when | come.3.SG.PRET | forth | DEF | dirty-fellow |
| ef | a | geusys |  | lowenek |


| 3.SG | AFF | speak.3.SG.PRET | cheerful |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

'[When the dirty fellow came forth], he spoke cheerfully'.
$\left[_{\text {FrameP }}\right.$ pan thueth yn rak an plosek $\left[_{\text {ForceP }}\left[_{\text {AbTopP }}\left[_{\text {ContrTopP }}\left[_{\text {FocP }}\left[_{\text {FamTopP }}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ ef $\left[_{\text {FinP }}\right.$ ef $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { Fin }\end{array}\right.$ geusys] . . . lowenek][]]]]]]]
§28. We do not count adjunct PPs, AdvPs, or clauses, which are base-generated in the left periphery, e.gg.:
(46) a. Adjunct PP (RD 86.1117):
[gansgu lym] y a 'n guanas
with spearsharp 3.PL AFF 3.SG.M.OBJ pierce.3.SG.PRET 'They pierced him [with a sharp spear]'.

b. Adjunct AdvP (PC 334.1402):
[yn ta] ef re ' $n$ dyndylas
ADV good 3.sG.M PERF 3.SG.M.OBJ earn.3.SG.PRET
'He has [well] earned it'.

c. Adjunct clause (BK 308.3095-3096):
[mar ny vethaf cvrvnys]
if NEG be.1.sG.FUT crown.V-ADJ in tan por dun te a lysk in fire very deep 2.SG aff burn.3.SG.PRES
'[If I shall not be crowned], you shall burn in very deep fire'.
$\left[_{\text {FrameP }}\left[_{\text {Forcep }}\left[_{\text {AbTopP }}\left[_{\text {contrtopp }}\left[_{\text {Focp }}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$ mar ny vethaf cvrvnys $\int_{\text {FamTopP }}$ te $\left[_{\text {FinP }}\right.$ te $\Gamma_{\text {Fin }}$ alysk $]$ . . . [] []J]נ]]
§29. As is well known, the Middle Cornish verse corpus is heavily strewn with chevilles, stock words or phrases that are inserted into a line in order to enable the required syllable-count or end-rhyme. Many of these are adverb(ial)s, e.gg.:
(47) a. eredy 'indeed' (e.g., BK 62.609)
b. heb falladow 'without fail' (e.g., BK 54.550)
c. heb lettya 'without delay' (e.g., GB 140.1732)
d. heb wov 'without a lie' (e.g., BM 58.1038)
e. pur theffry 'very earnestly' (e.g., OM 96.1264)
f. pur ylyn ‘very fairly’ (e.g., BM 102.1808)
g. scon 'soon; immediately' (e.g., RD 158.2110)
h. (yn) certan 'certainly' (e.g., RD 166.2215)

There are, however, two common chevilles that are based upon the verbs cregy/cresy/ crysy 'believe' and pegy/pesy/pysy 'pray, entreat, beg', e.gg.:
(48) a. PC 474.3193-3195:
a thu guyn ov bys neffre 3194 the gorf ker galles handle
rag map dev os [me a grys]

> O God! Happy (is) my lot for ever to be able to touch your dear body, for you are the Son of God, [I believe].
b. BK 30.314-315:

314 na gows folneth [me a'th pys] Don't talk folly, [I beg you], heb bos the well
without improving.

In both of these line-final tokens, the cheville is not grammatically necessary. Such tokens we do not count. We do, however, count tokens of these phrases in line-final position when they are fully integrated into the syntax of the clause, e.gg.:
(49) a. OM 96.1262-1264:

1262 ef yv arluth nef ha'n beys He is Lord of heaven and earth, ha henna sur [my a greys]
1264 a luen colon pur theffry and surely [I believe] that
with a full heart, very earnestly.
b. PA $\S 10.1^{\mathrm{a}}-2^{\mathrm{b}}=73-76$ :
i vam pan yn drehevys • ha'y vos deuezis зe oys When his mother (had) reared him, gull penans [ef a pesys] • henna ganso nyn io poys and he had come to age,
[he prayed] to do penancethat was not grievous with him.

## What we do count

§30. We count all tokens in which a non-adjunct XP that is not base-generated in the left periphery coöccurs in pre-verbal position with a subject personal pronoun. These include clauses with fronted full object DPs, e.gg., in (50), but is not limited to them.
(50) a. BM 232.4004:
[DP dragan] me a ra guan
DEF dragon 1.SG AFF make.3.SG.PRES weak
'I shall make [the dragon] weak'.
b. PA $30 \S 96.3^{\mathrm{ab}}=765-766$ :
avel brathken [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ aga dyns] - orto y a theskerny
like savage-dog.PL 3.PL.POsstooth.PL at.3.SG.M 3.PL AFF gnash.3.SG.PRES
'Like savage dogs, they gnashed [their teeth] at him'.
§31. We also count fronted APs, e.gg.:
a. OM 204.2702:
[marrow cowal] ty a vyth
dead complete 2.SG AFF be.3.SG.FUT
'You shall be [completely dead]'.
b. GB 152.1889:
[pur lowan] me a vea
very happy 1.sG aff be.3.sG.Cond
'I would be [very happy]'.
§32. We count fronted VPs, which may be composed of a simple verbal noun, a verbal noun with a preceding object agreement clitic, a verbal noun with DP argument, a simple verbal adjective, a verbal adjective with PP complement, or an infinitival construction with complement, e.gg.:
(52) a. Simple verbal noun (OM 154.2050):
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ powes] my a vyn defry
rest.VN 1.SG AFF want.3.SG.PRES indeed
'Indeed, I shall [rest]'.
b. Verbal noun with object agreement clitic (BM 62.1115):
[ov sywa] eff a levays
1.SG.OBJ follow.VN 3.SG AFF venture.3.SG.PRES
'He ventures [to follow me]'.
c. Verbal noun with DP argument (BK 92.884):
[gul negysyow] me a gar
do.VN errand.PL 1.SG AFF love.3.SG.PRES
'I love [to do errands]'.
d. Simple verbal adjective (PC 352.1644):
ha [rewardys] ty a vyth
\& reward.V-ADJ 2.SG AFF be.3.SG.FUT
'And you shall be [rewarded]'.
e. Verbal adjective with PP complement (RD 196.2603-2604):
gans gu [guenys ha marow
with spear pierce.V-ADJ \& dead dre an golon] me a fue through DEF heart 1.SG AFF be.3.SG.PRET
'With a spear, I was [pierced through the heart and (made) dead]'.
f. Infinitival construction with complement (PA $64 \S 216.2^{\mathrm{a}}=1725$ ):
[弓e veras worth crist] y eth ${ }^{34}$
to look.VN on Christ 3.PL go.3.SG.PRET
'They went [to look on Christ]'.

[^17]§33. We also count fronted argument clauses (ForceP), e.gg.:
(53)
a. PA $12 \S 28.1^{\mathrm{ab}}=217-218$ :
[del yrghys ihesus 3езe] y a rug a зesympys as command.3.SG.PRET Jesus to.3.PL 3.PL AFF do.3.SG.PRET immediately 'They did straightaway [as Jesus commanded them]'.
b. PC 336.1420:

| $\left[\begin{array}{lll}y & \text { 'n } & \text { naghen }]\end{array} \quad\right.$ ef | a | 'm | guarnyas |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AFF | 3.SG.M.OBJ deny.1.SG.IMPF.SUBJ 3.SG.M | AFF | 1.SG.OBJ warn.3.SG.PRET |  |
| 'He warned me | [that I should deny him]'. |  |  |  |

§34. We note that the constituents within a fronted VP not infrequently appear in other than their normal sequence, e.gg.:35
a. BM 64.1143:
[chapel guthel] me a vyn
chapel make.VN 1.SG AFF want.3.SG.PRES
'I shall [make a chapel]'
b. RD 108.1418-1419:

| lemmyn | [pur | lowenek | fest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| now | very | joyful | indeed |  |
| bos] |  | ty | $a$ | $y l$ |
| be.VN |  | 2.SG | AFF | be-able.3.SG.PRES |

'Now you may [be very joyful indeed]'.
§35. We also note that it is possible for only a portion of a fronted XP to appear in pre-verbal position, and hence be discontinuous, e.gg.:
(55) a. Discontinuous DP (PA $34 \S 110.4^{\text {ab }}=879-880$ ):
$y$ 'n vr na keskeweзе ...]•y a ve [... ha specyall bras] in DEF time DIST comrade.PL 3.PL AFF be.3.SG.PRET \& special great 'Then they were [comrades . . . and especially great (ones)]'.
b. Discontinuous VP (OM 74.991-992):
[aga gora...] ty a wra 3.PL.OBJ put.VN 2.SG AFF do.3.SG.PRES
[...yn the worhel aberveth]
in 2.SG.Poss ark inside
'You shall [put them . . . inside your ark]'.
c. Discontinuous argument clause (BM 136.2393-2394):
[pagya mergh es...] by my sowle
lad horse.PLbe.2.SG.IMPF by my soul

[^18]| me a | glowes $\quad[\ldots$ | in $3 e$ | $p o v]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.SG AFF | hear.3.SG.PRET | in 2. SG.POss | country |

'I have heard, by my soul, [that you were a stable boy . . . in your country]'.
We regard such tokens, 44 of which occur in our corpus, as a type of synecdoche in which the fronted portion of the XP serves to provide a pragmatic reading for the entire XP.
§36. In (55a), keskeweze 'comrades' is a Familiar Topic that resumes Herod and Pilate from earlier in the stanza, and the discontinuity enables two end-rhymes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { PA } 24 \S 110.1^{a}-4^{b}=873-880 \text { : } &  \tag{56}\\
\text { the herodes y thesa } \cdot \text { pur wyr worth pylat sor bras } & \text { Herod had right truly anger against } \\
y \text { welas ef ny gara } \cdot \text { na boys yn y gowe gas } & \text { Pilate; he did not love to see him, nor } \\
3030 \text { ihesus } 3^{\prime} y \text { thampnye } \cdot \text { pylat bys pan danvonas } & \text { to be in his company, until Pilate sent } \\
y^{\prime n} \text { vr na }[\text { keskewese } \ldots] \cdot \text { y a ve }[\ldots \text { ha specyall bras] } & \text { Jesus to him to condemn him-then } \\
& \text { they were [comrades . . . and } \\
& \text { especially great (ones)]. }
\end{array}
$$

§37. In (55b), aga 'them', construed with gora 'put', is a Familiar Topic that resumes bub eghen a kunda 'every sort of species' two lines previously, hence the pre-verbal position of aga gora, while enabling the rhyme in -eth:

OM 74.989-992:
a bub eghen a kunda
990 gorow ha benow ynweth [aga gora . . .] ty a wra
[. . . yn the worhel aberveth]

Of every sort of species, male and female also, you shall [put them . . . inside your ark].
§38. In (55c), pagya mergh es 'you were a stable boy' is an argument clause under Focus that follows a string of abusive statements that the Duke makes to Teudar, while the discontinuity enables the rhyme in -ov:

BM 136.2387-2394:
ny seff henna y'th galloys
2388 ty falge ky omschumunys
kynse me a scoyl the goys
2390 ha ty a veth devenys avel losov
2392 ru'm ena the guthel covle
[pagya mergh es . . .] by my sowle
2394 me a glowes [. . . in 3 e pov]

That does not stand in your power, you false, excommunicated hound!
Sooner shall I spill your blood, and you shall be minced like herbs, by my soul, to make broth. [You were a stable boy ...], by my soul, I have heard, [... in your country].

## The matter of trivalent verbs

§39. There are a number of clauses with the trivalent verbs keusel 'speak, talk', daryvas 'report, tell', dry 'bring', delyffre 'deliver', gorre 'put', grontya 'grant', kemynna 'commend', leverel 'say, tell', offrynne 'offer', ry 'give', and settye 'set', among others, in which the indirect object is also an argument, in our corpus, so we look at whether any tokens of the MPVAC occur in which the indirect object alone coöccurs in the left periphery with a personal pronominal subject. In fact, there is but a single clear such token, ${ }^{36}$ viz.:

OM 20.265:

| [thymmo | vy] | wy | a | ros | gvrek |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to.1.sG | 1.SG | 2.PL | AFF | give.3.SG.PRET | wife |
| 'You gave a wife | [to me]'. |  |  |  |  |

§40. There are somewhat more tokens, however, in which both the direct object and indirect object, in either order, coöccur with a personal pronominal subject in the left periphery, e.gg.:
(60) a. PC 312.1150-1151:

| [the'th | scoforn] | wharre | [yehes] |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to.2.SG.POSs | ear | soon | health |  |
| sur | me | a | re |  |
| surely | 1.SG | AFF | give.3.SG.PRES |  |

'I shall give, surely, [healing] [to your ear] soon'.
b. PA $36 \$ 117.2^{\text {ab }}=931-932$ :
rag [ihesus crist] [ze'n mernans] y a vynne porrys dry for Jesus Christ to DEF death 3.PL AFF want3.3G.IMPF of-necessity bring.vN 'For they would, of necessity, bring [Jesus Christ] [to death]'.

The relative ordering of direct object and indirect object in these poetic lines appears to be a matter of enabling an end-rhyme. In (60a), yehes 'health' rhymes with gockyes 'fools' in the previous line, while in (60b), mernans 'death' rhymes with setsans 'they set' two lines previously-it being virtually impossible to make a rhyme with crist in Middle Cornish.

[^19]

## Counts of the MPVAC across texts

§41. The MPVAC is not uncommon. It is attested in every Middle Cornish text, there being an attestion even in the $36 / 41$ lines of the Charter endorsement. In Table I, we count the tokens that occur across texts.

Table 1.

|  | DP | AP | PP | VP | ForceP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CE | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PA | 30 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 3 |
| OM | 21 | 4 | 1 | 22 | 3 |
| PC | 21 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 4 |
| RD | 29 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 1 |
| BK | 15 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 |
| BM | 42 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 1 |
| GB | 21 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | 10 | 1 | 125 | 12 |

In the $21,465 / 21,470$ lines of verse in our corpus, we find 328 tokens of the MPVAC, plus the single token in which the subject personal pronoun precedes its full direct object DP (see §43).

## Some conclusions

§42. It is clear that the need to enable the syllable-count or an end-rhyme often motivated the use of the MPVAC in place of a conjugated verb. The 328 clausal tokens in which a full non-adjunct XP and a subject personal pronoun coöccur in our corpus are composed of 475 lines of text. Of these, 239 lines- 50.3 per cent-end in the MPVAC. Among these, there are 61 which end in the auxiliary verb ( $w$ )ra 'does', 41 in the auxiliary verb vyn 'wants', 24 in 3. sg. pret. -as, and 19 in fyth/vyth/byth 'be', over 60 per cent of the total. This percentage suggests that the composers of the Middle Cornish verse texts employed the MPVAC as a method to substitute a $3 . \mathrm{sg}$. verb that is easy to rhyme for a clitic subject personal pronoun or conjugated verb in order to help enable an end-rhyme. This view is supported by the 44 discontinuous tokens that are employed for precisely this purpose, as well. It is confirmed by the 11 (or possibly 14) tokens in which the fronted XP would have provided the required end-rhyme had it remained in its base-generated, clause-final position, but was drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement, and the 20 or more tokens in which the fronted XP
was not base-generated in line-final position and clearly was not displaced to enable an end-rhyme, but, instead, indicated switch reference or contrastive focus.

## The single token of subject personal pronoun + full non-adjunct DP

§43. The only exception to the consistent relative ordering of the subject personal pronoun and full non-adjunct XP among the 329 tokens in our corpus occurs at BM 264.4532-4533:
(61) $h a[m e] \quad[a n ~ b e n e d i c c o n] ~$
\& 1.SG DEF benediction
a ra oma pur dyson
AFF do.3.SG.PRES here very immediately
'And here I shall make the benediction forthwith'.
This clause is preceded by speeches by the Naked Man, at BM 262.4517-4522, and the Cripple, at BM 262.4523-4528, in which they explain that Meriasek's grave is ready for interment. The Bishop of Cornwall then states:

BM 262.4529-4531:
both du nynsus offendia God's will is not to be resisted.
4530 an corff i'n beth $y$ wora
gruegh lemen in hanov du
Put the body in the grave now in God's name.

At this point, the clause in (61) occurs. We find a switch in reference, but the MPVAC does not occur. A reviewer suggests that we should, indeed, expect to find the MPVAC, with oma 'here', as a scene-setting locatival adverb, high in the left periphery:
(63) oma an benediccon
me a ra pur dyson
We agree, but this is not possible, however, because me a ra pur dyson is a hexasyllabic line and, therefore, deficient. Under the assumption that the composer intended to produce a clause of this structure, but was unable, it seems that he displaced me ' l ' and oma 'here' via poetic overdetermination and introduced a connective in order to get two heptasyllabic lines. Note well, furthermore, that both an benediccon and oma pur dyson are pentasyllabic sequences and provide a rhyme in -on, hence the fact that we do not find ha me oma pur dyson / a ra an benediccon indicates that an benediccon was drawn into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement.

## Recapitulation

§44. In this paper, we demonstrate that, though only a single argument should occur in the left periphery of a V2 language such as Middle Cornish, there are 329 clauses in the Middle Cornish verse corpus in which a full object DP, complement AP, VP, or argument clause (ForceP) coöccurs in it with a subject personal pronoun. In all but one token, the XP precedes the subject personal pronoun, which appears immediately before the affirmative particle +3 . sg. verb.

We argue that, in the large majority of these clauses, it is the XP which is drawn into the left periphery in order to satisfy the Left Edge requirement. The replacement of the sequence of affirmative particle + conjugated verb with the sequence of subject personal pronoun + affirmative particle +3 . singular verb via poetic overdetermination often is employed to enable the required syllable-count or end-rhyme, but also occurs to indicate that the subject personal pronoun represents a switch in reference or is under focus. Our analysis is confirmed by the 11 (or possibly 14) tokens in which the XP moves into the left periphery though it would have provided the required end-rhyme had it remained in its base-generated, clause-final position, as well as by the 20 or more tokens in which the XP moves from its non-line-final, base-generated position into the left periphery, and so is not displaced for a metrical reason.

The one exception to the relative sequencing of the XP and the subject personal pronoun appears to be an instance in which the employment of the MPVAC did not allow the composer to make two heptasyllabic lines, which resulted in his then having to resort to displacement of two words and the addition of another in order to create acceptable verse lines.

## Coda. What does Middle Breton do?

§45. Given the close relationship between Middle Cornish and Middle Breton, one naturally asks whether the MPVAC is common in the latter, as well. We have not canvassed the entire Middle Breton corpus, but have examined the following texts:37
(64) a. The saint's life Buhez Santez Nonn (ca. late fifteenth century) in verse play form, 1,956 remaining lines in the edition of Ernault 1887b.
b. The saint's life Buhez an itron Sanctes Cahell (one existing copy printed in 1576), a prose text of 319 lines in the edition of Ernault 1887a.
c. The saint's life Buhez Sant Gwenôlé (eighteenth-century copy possibly made after an edition of 1580), 1,278 lines in the edition of Widmer \& Jørgensen 2011.

[^20]d. The three poems Tremenuan an ytron guerches Maria (760 lines),Pemzec leuenez Maria (144 lines), and Buhez mab den (362 lines) (earliest versions printed in 1530) in the edition of Hemon 1962.

It is no surprise that the MPVAC does not occur in the prose Buhez an itron Sanctes Cahell, ${ }^{38}$ but it occurs only twice in the over 4,000 lines in the verse texts, both in Buhez Santez Nonn, both tokens being VPs:
(65) a. BSN 244.64:
[monet] a me a preder
go.VN immediately 1.SG AFF intend.3.SG.PRES
'I intend to go straightaway'.
b. BSN 256.244:
[lesel an bet] me a preder leave.VN DEF world 1.SG AFF intend.3.SG.PRES
'I intend to leave the world'.

Both tokens enable a rhyme in -er. ${ }^{39}$ Our sample, of course, is not probative, but it does suggest the the MPVAC was not a common remedy employed by Middle Breton composers in order to write a metrically correct line of verse.

## Appendix I. Two problematic clauses

§46. At OM 46.602, we find the following line:

| (66) rag | the verkye | my | a | gura |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| for | 2.SG.POSSmark | 1.SG AFF | do.3.SG.PRES |  |

It is defective in that the affirmative particle should trigger lenition upon the inflected verb. This appears to be a case in which the scribe failed to insert a suspension mark to indicate the presence of a nasal consonant. This line should be amended to rag the verkye my a'n gura 'For [your marking] ${ }_{i}$, I shall do $[\mathrm{it}]_{\mathrm{i}}$ '. It, therefore, includes a hanging topic, and is not included in our corpus.
§47. At GB 32.386-387, we find the following couplet:

| (67) | ahanas | tenaf | ason |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| from.2.SG | take.1.SG.PRES | rib |  |  |  |
| me | $a$ | vyn | $a$ | 'th | tenewan |
| 1.SG | AFF | want.3.SG.PRES | from | 2.SG.POSS | side |

[^21]This clause is obviously defective, since it includes both the inflected auxiliary verb vyn 'wants' and conjugated 1 . sg. pres. tenaf 'I take'. Since tenaf is not preceded by an affirmative particle, we presume that it is a hypercorrection for the verbal noun tenna. Since this solution is uncertain, we do not include this token in our corpus.

## Appendix II. Tokens in which an XP moves despite providing a required end-rhyme

§48. We list here the 11 sure and three potential tokens in our corpus of lines in which an XP is fronted from its base-generated, line-final position despite the fact that it would provide the required end-rhyme. These provide evidence that the fronted XPs in the MPVAC were drawn into the left periphery to get a specific pragmatic reading and were not simply displaced for metrical requirements.
(68) a. OM 4.53:
[gosteyth] thymo y a vyth
'They shall be [obedient] to me'.
b. OM 10.137:
ol thym [gustyth] y a vyth
'They shall all be [obedient] to me'.
c. OM $12.143:{ }^{40}$
[aga sona] ny a wra
'We shall [bless them]'.
d. OM 74.991-992: ${ }^{40}$
[aga gora . . .] ty a wra
[. . . yn the worhel aberveth]
'You shall [put them . . . inside your ark]'.
e. PC 298.983:
hep dout [henna] my a wra
'Without a doubt, I shall do [that]'
f. PC 412.2380:
[henna] yn scon ny a wra
dre'n laha a'n pref yn ta
'We shall presently do [that], through the law that proves it well.
g. RD 150.2009:
arluth [henna] me a wra
'Lord, I will do [that]'.
h. BM 56.978:
arluth [henna] ny a ra
'Lord, we shall do [that]'.

[^22]i．BM 142．2475：
［cryst ha ty］me a thefy
＇I defy［Christ and you］＇．
j．BM 232．4004：${ }^{41}$
［an dragan］me a ra guan
＇I shall make［the dragon］weak＇．
k．GB 4．42：42
［owe gwerthya］oll why a wra
＇You shall all［worship me］＇．
1．GB 34.415 ：$^{43}$
［aga sona］me a wra
＇I shall［bless them］＇．
m．GB 96．1144－1145：
ny won arluthe dyhogall
［henna］te a wore yn ta
＇I do not know，Lord，certainly；you know［that］well＇．
n．GB $182.2258:{ }^{44}$
［rowmys］y a vythe henwys
＇They shall be called［rooms］＇．

## Appendix III．Commentary on some forms in Appendix II

§49．Examples（ $68 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ）each involve a fronted verbal noun with proclitic object agreement mark－ er that functions as the complement of an auxiliary verb，which is followed in the clause by the MPVAC．In both cases，the verbal noun－sona＇bless＇and gora＇put＇－is written with a final－〈a〉，which matches the ending of the line－final 3．sg．aux．vb．wra＇does＇．This suggests that the movement of the verbal noun to the front of the clause was not necessary to enable end－rhyme with other lines in the stanza，since the verbal noun and the auxiliary verb both end in orthographic $-\langle a\rangle$ ．Howev－ er，while the final $-\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ of wra remains stable throughout the Middle and Late Cornish periods and probably represents a stressed－［a：］，the unstressed final vowel of the verbal nouns underwent a shift in orthography between the date of the earliest texts－including the Ordinalia，of which Origo mundi forms a part－and the sixteenth－century manuscripts of Beunans Meriasek and Bewnans Ke －as well as the Tregear homilies and Sacrament an alter－，which almost certainly corresponds to a phonological change．In early Middle Cornish，this verbal noun suffix is most often spelt－〈e〉，and is commonly rhymed with other verbal nouns， 3 ．singular imperfect and conditional verbal forms，and 3．plural

[^23]forms of conjugated prepositions，all of which，likewise，end in an unstressed $-\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ ．While there are tokens of such verbal nouns being written with $-\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ and／or being rhymed with stressed－［a：］in Origo mundi，this is not the usual practice．For example，at OM 90．1189－1194，an AABAAB stanza in which the $A$ lines end in $-\langle a\rangle-r e p r e s e n t i n g ~ s t r e s s e d ~-[a:] ~ o r ~ u n s t r e s s e d ~-[a]-a n d ~ t h e ~ в ~ l i n e s ~ e n d ~ i n ~-\langle e\rangle: ~$

SHEM
colom genef vy yma
1190 yn onour dev my a wra war an alter hy gorre

I have a dove． In honour of God，I shall place it on the altar．

> A pheasant，a fat and good one， to the excellent Father God of heaven， I shall offer，without delay．

Note that the verbal noun gorre＇put＇in 1.1191 is the same word that appears as gora in（68d），but here it is rhymed with another form in unstressed－〈e〉，lettye＇delay＇．The a rhymes，which，due to the nature of the stanza form，are likely intended to feature a contrasting set of rhymes，are yma＇is＇，wra＇does＇，and da＇good＇，all of which end in stressed－［a：］，and guella＇best＇，which ends in unstressed－［a］；see Dunbar \＆George 1997：95－100 for further discussion of the principle that such cases represent intentional＇contrasts＇in rhyme．
§50．By the time of Beunans Meriasek，verbal nouns such as sona and gor $(r) a$ are frequently written with final $-\langle a\rangle$ ，as are 3 ．singular imperfects and conditionals and 3．plural conjugated prepositions，and can be rhymed freely with words that in earlier Middle Cornish are spelt with final－〈a〉，such as yma＇is＇，wra＇does＇，and da＇good＇．This likely represents the result of a sound change，possibly $-[\varepsilon]>-[ə]$ ，as well as shifting perceptions of the＇rules＇governing rhyme on the part of Cornish poets．${ }^{45}$ While the change in pronunciation from－［ $\left.\varepsilon\right]>-[ə]$ may have already been in progress at the time that Origo mundi was written，there is，however，ample evidence from this text and other early Middle Cornish works such as Pascon agan Arluth，Passio Christi，and Resurrexio Domini that shows that verbal nouns such as sona＇bless＇and gora／gorre＇put＇are more likely to be rhymed with words in－〈e〉 than with words such as wra＇does＇or henna＇that＇that end in stressed －［a：］or unstressed－［a］，which suggests that，despite orthographic 〈sona〉 at OM 12.143 and 〈gora〉 at OM 74．991，these verbal nouns may have been displaced partly to enable an end－rhyme－or，at least，to enable a＇better＇or＇closer＇end－rhyme－by ending the line with wra．The rhymes for（c） are da＇good＇，bowesva＇resting（place）＇，and henna＇that＇at OM 10．141－12．147，all of which would have ended in stressed－［a：］or unstressed－［a］；the rhymes for（d）are y＇n bys ma＇in this world＇at OM 74.993 and maga ta＇as well＇at OM 74．995，with unstressed－［a］and stressed－［a：］，respectively， along with kunda＇kind，variety＇at OM 74．989，which is a loanword from ME kinde and might have ended in unstressed－［a］，－［ə］，or（perhaps）－［ $\varepsilon$ ］；it is spelt kunda and rhymed with an welen ma＇this rod＇at OM 110．1459，which suggests－［a］，but it appears as orthographic 〈kynde＞at OM 74.979 between two other lines spelt with final $-\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle-\mathrm{N}$ ．B．the different vowel in the first syllable－and〈gvnde〉 at OM 146．1950．
§51．The token in（68l）continues OM 12.143 in（68c）．As has been noted by many previous scholars，Gwreans an bys contains over 100 lines of verse which duplicate lines in Origo mundi，sug－

[^24]gesting that the composer of Gwreans an bys intentionally incorporated portions of the older text， likely reconstructing them from memory rather than copying them from a manuscript，as first proposed by Neuss 1983：xxxvii－xliv．As noted in $\S \S 49-50$ ，the clause in（68c）may be problematic as a token of XP movement into the left periphery to satisfy the Left Edge requirement and not unmotivated by considerations of rhyme．It must be noted，however，that changes in phonology， orthography，and versification between the dates of Origo mundi and Gwreans an bys render（681）a valid token of XP movement to satisfy the Edge Feature requirement，since verbal nouns were com－ monly spelt with final $-\langle a\rangle$ in the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries and could be freely rhymed with stressed or unstressed（historical）－／a／in words such as wra＇does＇．
§52．In（68j），an dragan＇the dragon＇shares the same orthographic ending as line－final guan ＇weak＇，but，as with（ $68 \mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}$ ），the shared orthography may well mask a significant difference in the phonology of the final－syllable vowels in dragan and guan．The word for＇dragon＇，attested numerous times in Beunans Meriasek，is，of course，borrowed from Engl．dragon，usually so spelt，including four tokens in which it appears in line－final position and，thus，participates in the end－rhyme scheme of the stanza．At BM 232.3997 and 234．4033，dragon is rhymed with dyson＇forthwith＇at BM 232.3998 and 234．4036；at BM 238．4101，dragon is rhymed with colon＇heart＇and on＇lamb＇at BM 238.4102 and 238．4104，respectively．These tokens，as well as the prevalence of the form dragon elsewhere in the text，suggest that the final vowel was a rounded back vowel such as［ 0 ］．However，at BM 236．4055， the form dragon is rhymed with in ban＇above＇and certan＇certainly＇at BM 236.4049 and BM 236．4050， respectively，the former of which is likely to have contained a stressed－［a］－，even if the latter had already shifted from－［a］－to－［ə］－due to the neutralisation of many unstressed vowels in final syl－ lables that took place by the end of the fifteenth century and is alluded to in $\$ 50$ ．
§53．Orthographic 〈dragan〉 is also attested at BM 240．4141，where it is rhymed with avan ‘above＇at BM 240．4137，dregan＇harm＇at BM 240．4139，and leman＇now＇at BM 240．4143．The end－ rhyme scheme of this stanza appears to be continued in the following quatrain，which provides two more possible rhymes：guan＇weak＇at BM 240.4145 and belan＇villain＇at BM 240.4147 ．The evidence suggests that orthographic 〈dragan＞has been used to provide an eye－rhyme with other words in the two stanzas，since they likely contained different vowels even at the time that Beunans Meriasek was written，and certainly derive from older forms that would have had contrasting rhymes．Even at the date of Beunans Meriasek，it is likely that the stressed vowels of avan and guan would have been realized as－［a］－，while the vowels of the other forms likely represented unstressed－［ə］－or $-[\mathrm{I}]-$ ．Belan，an English loanword with false delenition of $/ \mathrm{v} /-$ to $/ \mathrm{b} /-$ ，is typically spelt bylen or belen in early Middle Cornish texts such as Pascon agan Arluth，Origo mundi，and Passio Christi and often rhymed with words containing－〈e〉－in the final syllable－including stressed－［ع：］－－，but can be written with－〈a〉－in Beunans Meriasek and Gwreans an bys，suggesting that it may have undergone a shift from $[\varepsilon]>[ə]$ by the time of Beunans Meriasek，similar to the shift discussed in $\S 50$ with the verbal noun ending $-\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle>-\langle\mathrm{a}\rangle$ ．Dregan and leman seem both to have originally ended in $-\langle\mathrm{yn}\rangle$ （presumably－［in］）．Orthographic 〈dregyn＞＇harm＇is attested at CE 159.39 and at BM 62.1110 and 64．1124，the latter two tokens being rhymed with me a worhem $(m) y n$＇I command＇，suggesting that －［In］was still its usual pronunciation．The word for＇now＇is usually written as lemmyn／lemyn／lymmyn in other Middle Cornish texts，which also suggests that it could be pronounced with final－［in］until the late sixteenth century or even into the early seventeenth century．As will be discussed by Bruch in a future publication，stanzas that employ orthographic changes to create eye－rhymes between unstressed vowels in final syllables－－［r］－or－［ə］－，the latter often arising through the neutralisa－ tion of earlier unstressed－［ع］－，－［a］－，or－［0］－－and phonologically dissimilar stressed vowels such as
－［a］－are quite common in Beunans Meriasek，and reflect changes in the prosody and phonology of Cornish at or shortly before the time that Beunans Meriasek was composed．
§54．In（68j），then，while an dragan would likely not have been considered a＇natural＇rhyme for guan＇weak＇or avan＇above＇，the composer of Beunans Meriasek rhymes dragon or dragan with both guan and avan elsewhere in the play，which suggests that he would not have considered it necessary to displace the DP an dragan to the beginning of the line via poetic overdetermination simply to enable the end－rhyme．
§55．With regard to（ 68 k ），the rhymes in Gwreans an bys make it clear that by the time the play was written（or，to be more precise，at the time that the majority of the play was written，excluding the lines that are derived from Origo mundi or another late fourteenth－or early fifteenth－century source），historical final unstressed $-e$ and－o had fallen together with historical final unstressed $-a$ ， with all three vowels likely representing－［ə］．As a result，we consider it appropriate to treat this line as one in which the composer could have chosen to write oll why a wra owe gwerthya or why oll a wra owe gwerthya，as they would also provide the required rhyme with nefra＇ever＇at the end of l．43．For further discussion of the neutralisation of unstressed vowels in final syllables and its ef－ fect on rhyme in Middle Cornish verse，see Dunbar \＆George 1997：97－100 and Bruch 2009：82－83．
§56．The token in（68n）continues OM 72.952 romes y a vyth gylwys＇they shall be called rooms＇； however，orthographic 〈romes〉 in Origo mundi does not clearly indicate that the final syllable of the word could have been considered a rhyme for the vbl．adj．gylwys＇called．＇Based upon the or－ thography of GB 182．2258，it is likely that the writer who assembled the text could have considered moving rowmys to the end of the line，as both rowmys and henwys＇named＇end with final－〈ys〉， which likely contains unstressed－［r］－．However，it is probable that the line in GB simply preserves the line as attested in Origo mundi．

## Appendix iv．Tokens in which a full XP is fronted from a non－final base－generated position

§57．We list here the 20 tokens in our corpus of lines in which a full non－adjunct XP is fronted from its non－line－final，base－generated，position．${ }^{46}$ Since they have not moved into the left periphery in order to enable a rhyme，they provide indisputable evidence that such XPs in the multiple pre－ver－ bal arguments construction were moved to get a specific pragmatic reading．
（70）a．PA $36 \S 118.2^{\mathrm{a}}=939$ ：
$[\text { reson }[s]]^{47}$ y a rey resons ragthe
＇They were giving［reasons］for it＇．

[^25]（e）［ol del vynny］arluth ker［All as you wish］，dear Lord， my a wra yn pup tyller I shall do，in every place．

The lines could be reördered，thus removing the MPVAC．That the composer choose the attested order suggests that he wished to provide a specific pragmatic reading to ol del vynny＇all as you wish＇．
${ }^{47}$ ．Plural－s is supplied by Stokes 1860－1861： 37.
b. PA $64 \S 215.3^{b}=1720$ :
[grontis] ef a ve grontis 3030
'It was [granted] to him'.
c. OM 154.2050 :
[powes] my a vyn powes defry
I shall [rest], indeed.
d. PC 300.993:
[henna] my a wra henna wharre
'I shall do [that] soon'.
e. PC 352.1635:
[henna] me a wra henna hep let
'I shall do [that], without delay'.
f. PC 386.2067:
[henna] me a wra henna ru'm tros
'I shall do [that], by my foot!'
g. PC 434.2695:
[nep hus] ef re wruk nep hus thotho
'He has done [some trickery] to him'.
h. PC 452.2919:
[henna] me a wra henna ru'm soul
'I shall do [that], by my soul'.
i. RD 90.1168:
[henna] me a leuer henna wheth
'I shall say [that] still'.
j. BK 142.1378:
[wylcum] ny a vyth wyleum ena
'We shall be [welcome] there'.
k. BM 102.1807-1808:
ha thyso [age hanov]
me a leuer age hanov pur ylyn
'And I shall tell you their names very fairly'.

1. BM 108.1888-1889:
ha [the borse mes a'th hascra . . .]
me a'm beth the borse mes a'th hascra ha'th margh uskis
'And I shall have your purse from out of your bosom, and your swift horse'.
m. BM 108.1903:
[pronter] ef a hevel pronter suyr
'He seems (to be) [a priest], surely'.
n. BM 160.2784:
[den grascyes] ef a veth den grascyes lel
'He shall be [a saintly man], truly'.
o. BM 186.3224-3225:
[v lon bowyn dufunys]
$y$ a depse v lon bowyn dufunys in ij deth
'They would eat five minced beef steers in two days'.
p. BM 232.4004:
[an dragan] me a ra an dragan guan
'I shall make [the dragon] weak'.
q. BM 252.4340-4342:
[the volnogeth]
par del deleth
ny a ra the volnogeth snell
'We shall do your will, as is fitting, swiftly'.
r. BM 262.4515-4516:
[dadder the lues huny]
eff a ruk dadder the lues huny 3 e ihesu gras
'He did good to many a one, thanks (be) to Jesus'.
s. GB 14.177:
[morath] why a's byth morath ragtha
'You shall have [grief] for it'.
t. GB 96.1145:
[henna] te a wore henna yn ta
'You know [that] well'.

## Appendix v. Corpus of tokens

§58. This appendix gathers all tokens of the multiple pre-verbal arguments construction that we have collected. Tokens are coded for the type of constituent drawn into SpecFinP to satisfy the Edge Feature, which then moves higher into the left periphery to get a specific pragmatic reading:

## §59. Charter endorsement (CE)

6.21-22:
my a'd pes worty by3 da
ag $\left[{ }_{D P}\right.$ ol ze vo3] hy a wra
§60. Pascon agan arluth (PA)
6 § $10.2^{a}=75:$
$\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ gull penans] ef a pesys He prayed [to do penance].
12 § $28.1^{\mathrm{ab}}=217-218$ :
[Forcep del yrghys ihesus 3 eze] • y a rug a zesympys They did [as Jesus commanded them] straight away.

```
14 §36.4 = 288:
[ }\mp@subsup{}{DP}{}\mathrm{ y gowsys] ef a wo3ye He knew [his mind].
16 §45.1 }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{ab}}=353-354
ha [ [DP 'n gwyn esa war en foys] . ef a rannas yntreza And he divided [the wine that was on
    the table] among them.
16 §47.3 ab = 373-374:
[ForceP
ha molozek]
20 §60.4 = 480:
hag [ [DP ol myns vs] ef a ra And he makes [all that there is].
22 §66.1 }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{b}}-\mp@subsup{2}{}{\textrm{b}}=521-524
    iudas [}\mp@subsup{}{\textrm{VP}}{}\mathrm{ ow ry . . .] te a vyn Judas, you shall [give me ... to (those)
dre ze vay a reyth mar whek [ [ . зe neb a'm torment mar dyn] who shall torment me so keenly] by
    your kiss, which you give so sweetly.
30 §95.4ab}=759-760
[ForceP te yv mab du leun a ras] · yn ges y a leuerys
In jeer they said, ['you are God's son full
of grace'].
30 §96.3 ab = 765-766:
avel brathken [ [DP aga dyns] · orto y a theskerny
Like savage dogs they gnashed [their
teeth] at him.
34 §110.4ab = 879-880:
```



```
    cially great (ones)].
36 §117.2.2b}=931-932
rag [ }\mp@subsup{}{DP}{}\mathrm{ ihesus crist] 3e'n mernans · y a vynne porrys dry
For they would of necessity bring
[Jesus Christ] to death.
36 $118.2a = 939:
[ [DP reson[s]] 48 y a rey ragthe
They were giving [reasons] for it.
40 §126.2 2b = 1003-1004:
dylyver 3yn ny barabas · ha [ henna] ol ny a bys Deliver Barabbas to us, and [that] we all
pray!
40 §132.4a}=1055
ha whath [ }\mp@subsup{}{\textrm{DP}}{}\mathrm{ moy] wy a glewyth And yet [more] shall you hear.
42 §136.3 = 1085:
[ mur a onour] te a 'fyth You shall have [great honour].
```

[^26]```
44 §143.2 = 1140:
rag [ mab du] ef a omwra Forhemakeshimself(out to be)[God's
son].
46 §147.2 2a = 1171-1172:
[ ihesus] ef a 3yswezas pur evn yn cres yntreze
48 §156.2 = 1243:
[ [pavanskis] ef a whelas
48 §159.3ab = 1269-1270:
[DP ij droys ihesus caradow · ha'y ij leyff] y a delly They pierced [dear Jesus' feet and his
hands].
50 §162.1 ab = 1289-1290:
oll [}\mp@subsup{}{\textrm{VP}}{}\mathrm{ monas . . . ] y a vynne • [. . . bys y'n mont a galvary]
50 §162.3ab 1293-1294:
[ [DP an grows]i a rug gorre · war scoth ihesus 3'y don 3y
50 §164.4 = 1311:
[vp i wortos] hy a vynnas She wished [to wait for him].
50 §167.4 = 1336:
[ [vP
52 §170.2 2b = 1355-1356:
yn ketella [ [DP an nanssow] - wy a bys rag'as cuthe
54 §177.3ab = 1413-1414:
[DP
54 §178.1 1 = 1417-1418:
[ [Deys crist] y a gemeras · a'n neyll lef bys yn y ben
56 §183.2 2ab 1459-1460:
[ }\mp@subsup{}{\textrm{DP}}{}\mathrm{ corff ihesus ha'y asely] - y зe denna mar velen
58 §195.2 = 1555:
[DP aga fen] y a sackye They shook [their heads].
60 §198.3 = 1582:
[ iowan 3e vab] me a wra I shall make [John (into) your son].
```

```
62 §204.4 }\mp@subsup{4}{}{\textrm{ab}}=1631-1632
[ [DP eneff] me a gymyn · arluth yntre ze zewle
64 §215.3}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{b}}=1720
[}\mp@subsup{}{vP}{}\mathrm{ grontis . . . ef a ve [. . . 3030] It was [granted . . . to him].
64 §216.2 = 1725:
[vP ze veras worth crist] y eth }\mp@subsup{}{}{49}\mathrm{ They went [to look on Christ].
66 §218.3 3b = 1743-1744:
yn corf ihesus caradow · [ [DP en gew lym] ef a bechye He stabbed [the sharp spear] into Jesus'
beloved body.
68 §229.2b = 1830:
[ dyth vghel] y a sensy They held (it to be) [a high day].
68 §230.2 2b = 1837-1838:
y'n er na yn weth [ kemeas] 3e iosep y a rontyas Then also they granted [permission] to
Joseph.
70 §233.4.4b = 1865-1866:
hag [ 
70 §236.1 1ab = 1883-1884:
ha [ [DP spycis leas ehen] ef a worras yn y veth And he put [many kinds of spices] into his tomb.
72 §238.2 }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{ab}}=1901-1902
[ lauarow tyn hag vghel] fest yn foll y a gewsy They spoke [sharp and loud words]
very wildly.
74 §251.4 = 2009:
[ [DPth pylat] y a notyas They made known [Pilate's will].
76 §258.1 ' = 2060:
[DP ihesus crist] y a welas They saw [Jesus Christ].
78 §259.3 = 2072:
y'n er na [ [AP rych] ef a vyth Then he shall be [rich].
```


## §61. Origo mundi (OM)

### 4.53:

```
[ \({ }_{\mathrm{AP}}\) gosteyth] thymo y a vyth They shall be [obedient] to me.
```


### 10.137:

```
ol thym \(\left[_{\text {AP }}\right.\) gustyth \(]\) y a vyth They shall all be [obedient] to me.
```

[^27]12.143:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ aga sona] ny a wra
20.265:
${ }^{\mathrm{pp}}$ thymmo vy] why a ros gvrek
22.273-274:
y'th whys [ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ lavur the thybry . . .]
ty a wra [. . . bys y'th worfen]
22.295-296:
[ $_{\mathrm{AP}}$ nefre gustyth] th'y gorty
me a orden bos benen
28.385-386:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ myns a def ynno vn geyth]
my ha'm gurek a wra dybry
32.415-416:
gans kegel a thesempys
[vp $n$ nethe dyllas] my a wra
32.433:
pur wyr [ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ leskys] ef a vyth
48.640:
arluth $\left[{ }_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ the voth $]$ my a wra
52.681-682:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ mos the balas . . .] my a vyn
[. . . rag sustone beunans thyn]
54.731-732:
$\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ dev a'n nef] dre y versy
me a bys d'agan gueres
60.790:
hag ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ ol ken] ty a welfyeth
72.952:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ romes] y a vyth gylwys
72.961-962:
war tu dylarg [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ daras yn] ty a wra yv port hynwes
72.963:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ tresters] dretho ty a pyn a drus rag na vo degees

We shall [bless them].

You gave a wife [to me].

In your sweat, you shall [labour to eat, . . . until your end].

I ordain woman to be [ever obedient] to her husband.

I and my wife shall eat [all that may grow in it (in) one day].

With distaff, at once, I shall [spin (yarn for) clothes].

Truly, it shall be [burnt].

Lord, I shall do [your will].

I shall [go to dig . . . to sustain our life].

I pray [the God of heaven], through his mercy, to help us.

And you shall see [everything else].

They shall be called [rooms].

On the after side, you shall make [a narrow door] which is called a port.

You shall nail [beams] across through it, that it may not be opened.
74.981:
rag [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ lyf bras] my a thoro
74.991-992:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ aga gora . . .] ty a wra
[. . . yn the worhel aberveth]
74.993-996:
$\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ pup maner bos y'n bys ma vs the dybry may telet rag den ha best maga $t a]$ yn the lester ty a 'fet
78.1034:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ the arhadow] me a wra

### 84.1105:

$\left[_{D P}\right.$ guyr] thym ty a tharyvas
84.1117:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ hy delyfre] my a wra
84.1123-1124:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ hy kemeres . . .] my a wra
[. . . a gy the'n gorhyl toth bras]
88.1165-1166:
${ }^{\mathrm{DP}}$ the volungeth] yn pup le
arluth vhel my a wra
90.1181-1183:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ dege ol agan ethyn bestes ynweth maga ta]
warnythy my a offryn
90.1185-1186:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ bugh offrynne . . .] my a vyn
[. . . whare war an alter na]
90.1192-1194:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ ffesont onan fat ha da]
the'n tas dev a'n nef guella
my a offryn hep lettye

### 90.1195:

${ }^{D}$ goth dek] scon my a offryn
92.1219:
$\left[_{\text {ForceP }}\right.$ na dybreug] my a yrvyr

For I shall bring [a great flood].

You shall [put them . . . inside your ark].

You shall have [all manner of food in this world that there is, which is fit for man, and beast, as well], in your ship.

I shall do [your commands].

You have told me [truth].

I shall [release it].

I shall [take her . . . inside the ark (with) great speed].

I shall do [your will], high Lord, in every place.

I shall offer [a tithe of all our birds, beasts also, as well], upon it.

I shall [offer a cow . . . forthwith upon that altar].

I shall offer [a pheasant, a fat and good one], to the excellent Father God of heaven, without delay.

I shall offer [a fair goose] forthwith.

I command [that you not eat].
94.1257:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ mos the wonys] my a wra
96.1263:
ha $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ henna] sur my a greys
102.1363-1364:
gans ov clethe sur $\left[{ }_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ the lathe]
scon me a vyn
110.1472:
ha $\left[_{D P}\right.$ grath $]$ thyso my a re
114.1521:
yn scon [ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ dyswreys] ef a vyth
132.1750-1752:
[vp aga malye . . .] my a vyn
[. . . gans mur a reoute bras yn cendel hag yn ourlyn]

### 142.1877:

wheth ol $[\mathrm{vp}$ bywe y a wra
142.1887-1888:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ mos the blanse . . .] my a vyn
[. . . y'n dor an dyr guelen ma]
154.2050:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ powes . . .] my a vyn [. . . defry]
156.2078-2080:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ mos the blanse . . .] my a vyn
[. . . en gueel gans reoute bras
yn nep plath tek hag ylyn]
162.2147-2148:
syr arluth ker $\left[_{\text {Forcep }}\right.$ del vynny]
my a wra prest hep ynny
172.2269-2270:
${ }^{[D P}$ ol] war lergh the gussullyow
bys venytha my a wra
172.2285:
arluth $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ the voth] my a wra
174.2310:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ the rewardye] my a wra

I shall [go to work].

And I surely believe [that].

With my sword, soon I shall surely [kill you].

And I give [grace] to you.

Soon he shall be [destroyed].

I shall [wrap them . . . with very great care, in fine linen and in silk].

Yet they all do [live].

I shall [go to plant . . . these three rods in the ground].

I shall [rest . . . , indeed].

I shall [go to plant . . . the rods, with great care, in some fair and bright place].

Dear Sir Lord, I shall do [as you wish] at once, without refusal.

I shall do [everything] after your counsels for ever. Lord, I shall do [your will]. I shall [reward you].
178.2353:
arluth $\left[{ }_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ the voth] my a wra
184.2437-2438:
[vp mos the vyres . . .] my a vyn
[. . . ov gonesugy whare]
196.2584:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ agan gobyr] ny a'th pys
198.2604:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ y sacra scon] my a wra
198.2618-2619:
$\left[_{\mathrm{vP}}\right.$ y resseve . . .] my a wra
[. . . yn gorthyans the'n tas a'n nef]
200.2624-2625:
[forcep leuyrys pup gollohas . . .] I command [that all praise be spoken ...forthwith]. my a worhenmyn [. . . yn scon]
204.2702:
$\left[_{\text {AP }}\right.$ marrow cowal] ty a vyth
208.2755:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ ry whaf thethy] my a wra

## §62. Passio Christi (PC)

226.74:
[Forcep del leueryth] my a grys
230.113-114:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ ol del vynny] arluth ker
my a wra yn pup tyller
230.128:
${ }_{[D P}$ ol an bys ma] ty a 'fyth
234.158-159:
$\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ the gorhemynnadow] prest
sur ny a wra
236.206:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ the worthyby] me a wra

### 238.221:

[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ dyllas] me a vyn lese
244.304-305:
ty yw sylwador an beys ha ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] sur me a greys

Lord, I shall do [your will].

I shall [go to see . . . my workmen soon].

We ask you [for our wages].

I shall [consecrate him forthwith].

I shall [receive it . . . in worship to the Father of heaven]. You shall be [completely dead].

I shall [give a blow to her].

I believe [as you say].

I shall do [all as you wish], dear Lord, in every place.

You shall have [all this world].

We shall surely do [your commands], always.

I shall [answer you].

I shall spread [clothes].

You are the saviour of the world and I surely believe [that].
250.360:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ dyswel en fer] ef a vyn He shall [spoil the fair].
254.413:
pur wyr $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ henna me $[a]^{50}$ greys Very truly, I believe [this] with all my strength. gans ol ow nel
262.526:
ha $\left[_{D P}\right.$ 'm pen ol] hy ru'm vras And she has anointed [all my head].
268.599-600:
sur $\left[_{D P}\right.$ ol the wovynnadow $]$
ty a fyth yn guyr hep gow
270.612:
$\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}}\right.$ the rewardye] my a wra
274.671-672:
ragh yn nos haneth $\left[_{V P}\right.$ dybry bos pask omma] ef a vyn
298.972:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ y salugy] my a wra I shall [salute him].
298.983
hep dout $\left[_{D P}\right.$ henna] my a wra Without doubt, I shall do [that].
300.993:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] my a wra wharre I shall do [that] soon.
308.1105-1108:
a gothman da • prak a wreta O good friend, why did you kiss me? You have
thymmo amme
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ thym the amme . . .] • ty re duth sur
[. . . rag ow guerthe $\cdot$ the'n traytors pur]
312.1150-1151:
the'th scoforn wharre [ $_{\mathrm{DP}}$ yehes]
sur my a re
330.1349:
[ mp mur dyspyt] theugh my a wra I shall do [great spite] to you.
332.1376:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}} y\right.$ cuthe scon] me a wra I shall presently [blindfold him].
[ForceP $y$ 'n naghen] ef a'm guarnyas He warned me [that I should deny him].
${ }^{50}$ The line as written is metrically deficient. We restore the affirm. ptcl. $a$ to yield a heptasyllabic line.
344.1537:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ en arhans] me a gymer
352.1635:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ henna] me a wra hep let
352.1644:
ha $\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ rewardys] ty a vyth
358.1696:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ an temple] ef a thyswra
358.1701:
$\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}}\right.$ y weles] my re yevnys
360.1723:
$\left[_{\text {Forcep }} y\right.$ vos] ef re leuerys
360.1739:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ y gorthyby] me a wra
380.2009:
$\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}}\right.$ the worthyby] me a wra
384.2059:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ y gelmy fast] why a wra
386.2067:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] me a wra ru'm tros
392.2152:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ the thylyfrye] me a wra mara callaf yredy

### 394.2176:

[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ y examnye] my a wra
398.2207-2208:
yv syre war ow ene ha $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ henna] why a whylfyth
402.2261:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ gul the seruys] ty a wra
406.2308-2309:
rak $\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ the saye . . .] me a vyn
[. . . py par mav os y'n tor ma]
412.2380-2381:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ henna] yn scon ny a wra
dre'n laha a'n pref yn ta

I shall take [the money].

I shall do [that], without delay.

And you shall be [rewarded].

He shall destroy [the temple].

I have wished [to see him].

He has said [that he is so].

I shall [answer him].

I shall [answer you].

You shall [bind him fast].

I shall do [that], by my foot!

I shall [free you] if I can, verily.

I shall [examine him].

It is, sir, on my soul, and you shall see [that].

You shall [do your service].

For I shall [test you] . . . what sort of lad you are at this time.

We shall presently do [that], through the law that proves it well.
416.2450:
$\operatorname{rag}\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ ymsywe] y a wra For they [follow each other].
426.2570:
$\left[_{\mathrm{vp}} y\right.$ delly] scon me a wra I shall soon [bore it].
434.2695:
[ ${ }_{\text {pp }}$ nep hus] ef re wruk thotho He has done [some trickery] to him.
436.2703-2705:
a ihesu re by gorthys
[vp the vos dev ha den keffrys]
lemmyn me a wor yn ta
450.2883-2884:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ crysy thotho . . .] ny a wra
We shall [believe him . . . to be a blessed prophet].
[. . . y vos profus bynyges]
452.2919:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] me a wra ru'm soul
460.3009:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ nebes seruys] ty a wra You shall do [a little service].
474.3195:
rag [ ${ }_{\text {Forcep }}$ map dev os] me a gry
474.3211:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ ewne an men] me a wra I shall [adjust the stone].
§63. Resurrexio Domini (RD)
4.48:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vP}}$ fast prisonys] why a vyth You shall be [fast imprisoned].
6.61:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ dasserghy] sur ef a wra
12.143:
${ }_{[\mathrm{DP}}$ an luef a'm gruk] me a wel
16.183-184:
y'n vr na $\left[_{\mathrm{vP}}\right.$ cafus gynef
re] me a vyn
30.376:
${ }^{\mathrm{DP}}$ gobar da] why a'gas byth
32.394:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vP}} y$ weles] me a garse I would like [to see him].
32.397:
$\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ y pen] crak me a torse I would break [his head]-crack!
32.399:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ an beth] me re auysyas
36.435:
$\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}} y\right.$ weles] me a garse
38.482:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vP}}$ y luen crygy] me a wra
42.527-528:
ganso $\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ crous worth y baner]
wharre ef a thyspleytyas
46.576-577:
[Forcep a'n beth ef the thasserhy] me a leuer
46.583-584:
${ }^{\mathrm{Vv}}{ }^{\text {assentye ol the henna] }}$
sur me a vyn
50.635-636:
ha mar ny wreth ${ }_{[D P}$ the ancow]
me a vyth by god ys pes
52.663:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] ny a vyn notye
54.685-686:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ mos the vyres . . .] me a vyn
[. . . an corf a'm prynnes yn tyn]
58.744:
${ }^{\mathrm{VP}}$ cous ganso] me a garse
78.1008:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ y seruont] me a vye
80.1032-1033:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ y golon squyrdys a les]
me a welas
88.1148:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ luen tregereth] me a pys
88.1159:
pedar ${ }_{D P}$ gyffyens] ty a 'fyth

I have taken note [(of) the tomb].

I would like [to see him].

I [fully believe it].

Soon he displayed with him [a cross on his banner].

I shall say [that from the tomb he is risen].

Surely I shall [assent to all that].

And if you do not, I shall be [your death], by God's peace.

We shall note [that].

I shall [go to see . . . the body (of him) who painfully redeemed me].

I would like [to speak with him].

I would be [his servant].

I saw [his heart torn into pieces].

I pray for [full mercy].

Peter, you shall have [forgiveness].

| 90.1168: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ henna] me a leuer wheth | I shall say [that] still. |
| 98.1279: |  |
| $\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ dasserhy] sur ef a wruk | Surely he did [rise]. |
| 108.1418-1419: |  |
| lemmyn $\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ pur lowenek fest bos] ty a yl | Now you may [be very joyful indeed]. |
| 114.1490-1491: |  |
| a thyragon [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ torth vara] | Before us, he broke [a loaf of bread]. |
| ef a torras |  |
| 114.1492-1494: |  |
| [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ a les ol y wolyow] | We saw [all his wounds on display]. |
| ny a welas |  |
| 118.1556: |  |
| [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ ow len benneth] me a pys | I beseech [my full blessing]. |
| 126.1673: |  |
| lowen [ $\mathrm{DP}^{\text {henna }}$ ] me a vyn | Joyfully, I wish (for) [that]. |
| 130.1712: |  |
| ha [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ sawys] sur ty a 'fyth | And surely you shall have [healing]. |
| 138.1839-1840: |  |
| [ ${ }_{D P}$ an corf hepar] renothas ef re thuswruk | He has destroyed, by my Father, [the body without equal]. |
| 144.1921-1922: |  |
| $\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}}\right.$ cafus an bovs na hep gvry vs y'th kerghyn] me a vyn | I shall [take that seamless robe which is about you]. |
| 146.1932: |  |
| $\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ the pygy] me a vynse | I would [pray you]. |
| 148.1966: |  |
| [ $\mathrm{DP}^{\text {i }}$ hesu] ty a thyallas | You have executed [Jesus]. |
| 150.2005-2006: |  |
| $\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ an haccre mernans a vo] | I shall ordain [the most cruel death that may be] |
| me a vyn ordyne thotho | for him. |
| 150.2009: |  |
| arluth $\left[{ }_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ henna] me a wra | Lord, I shall do [that]. |
| 152.2042-2043: |  |
| rak $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ ow colon ow honan] gans ov hollan me a wan | For I shall pierce [my own heart] with my knife. |

160.2142-2143:
${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ vn gusyl da ha perfeyth] You have given [a good and perfect counsel] to me.
thym ty a ros
170.2260-2261:
[ $\mathrm{DP}^{2}$ mur venions ha calas ran] He sought [great vengeance and a hard lot].
ef a whylas
172.2284:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ an corf] yn schath ny a set We shall put [the body] into a boat.
174.2321:
ha $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ myl den $]$ ef $[a]^{51}$ wruk due And it did end [1,000 men].
176.2344-2345:
[ $_{\mathrm{DP}}$ skrynva vras an dewolow] He shall have [the devils' great outcries] with us.
ef a'n gevyth genen ny
182.2412:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vP}}$ gortos y thos] ny a wra We shall [await his coming].
186.2475:
arluth $\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ pregoth] ny a wra Lord, we shall [preach].
194.2574:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ porth yfarn] me a torras
196.2603-2604:
gans gu ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ guenys ha marow
dre an golon] me a fue
196.2622:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ map den] my re wruk prenne I have redeemed [mankind].
§64. Bewnans Ke (BK)
18.167:
rag $\left[_{\mathrm{vP}} y\right.$ brevy] te a fyl For you shall fail [to prove it].
50.491:
[ VP mos th'e warnya] me a vyn I shall [go to warn him].
64.627-628:
ha $\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}} r y\right.$ theso . . . me a ra And I shall [grant you . . . your wishes].
[. . . the vnadow]
68.670:
[vp gul afruthow] ny a vyn

## We shall [make a 'deformation ${ }^{?}$ ]. ${ }^{52}$

[^28]| 92.884: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| [ $\mathrm{vvP}^{\text {gul negysyow] me a gar }}$ | I love [to do errands]. |
| 92.894: |  |
| ha ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ gul e voth] me a vyn | And I shall [do his will]. |
| 96.913: |  |
| [ $\mathrm{vP}^{\text {gorthya jovyn] te a ra }}$ | You shall [worship Jovyn]. |
| 96.916-917: |  |
| hag [ ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ amyndya . . .] ef a ra <br> [. . . y'th kevar del vo reson] | And he shall [treat . . . you better as may be reasonable]. |
| 110.1066: |  |
| [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ henna] me re aspeas | I have noticed [that]. |
| 110.1071: |  |
| rag $\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ gule the voth] me a vyn | For I shall [do your will]. |
| 112.1087-1089: |  |
| $\left[_{D P}\right.$ an mens tyrath a barkys hedre ven ow cul tronkys] me a ro thys perpetual | I shall give [the amount of land that you would enclose while I be taking a bath] to you as a perpetual (gift). |
| 112.1091-1092: |  |
| ha [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ moy ha pas] te a 'vith dihogal | And you shall certainly have [(no) more than a pace (of land)]. |
| 124.1201: |  |
| [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ lorth] war e lergh ef a thray | He drags [a staff] behind him. |
| 142.1378: |  |
| ${ }^{\text {AP }}$ wylcum] ny a vyth ena | We shall be [welcome] there. |
| 148.1442: |  |
| ha [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ 'y yskerans] me a vras | And I shall ambush [his enemies]. |
| 152.1477: |  |
| [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ ow yskerans] me a feth | I shall defeat [my enemies]. |
| 154.1489: |  |
| ha [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ 'y yskerans] ef a feth | And he shall defeat [his enemies]. |
| 154.1500: |  |
| ha [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] why a wilwyth | And you shall see [that]. |

92.884:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ gul negysyow] me a gar I love [to do errands]
92.894:
ha $\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ gul e voth] me a vyn And I shall [do his will].
96.913:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ gorthya jovyn] te a ra You shall [worship Jovyn].
hag [ ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ amyndya . . .] ef a ra And he shall [treat . . you better as may be
[. . . y'th kevar del vo reson] reasonable].
110.1066:
${ }_{[D P}$ henna] me re aspeas
110.1071:
rag ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ gule the voth] me a vyn For I shall [do your will].
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ an mens tyrath a barkys
hedre ven ow cul tronkys]
me a ro thys perpetual
112.1091-1092:
ha [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ moy ha pas]
te a 'vith dihogal
124.1201:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ lorth] war e lergh ef a thray
142.1378:
${ }^{\text {AP }}$ wylcum] ny a vyth ena
And you shall see [that].
plar, thus making it difficult to determine its semantics. George 2006: 57 translates gul afrothow as 'do violence'.
172.1687-1689:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ trybut ryal
a lyas gwlas]
why a'gys byth
254.2548:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ the yskerans] ny a glowt
270.2726:
ha [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] why a wylwyth
272.2742:
$\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}}\right.$ mos the'n batal] me a vyn
300.3035:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ danfenys theugh] me re be
306.3075-3076:
[vp bos cvrvnis . . .] me a vyn
[. . . myghtern in bretayn iwys]
308.3103:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ cvryn bretayn] me a set war the ben in hanaw paul
308.3105-3108:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ an lahys . . .] te a lel syns
[. . . ha gryer the ol the wostoyth gvyryow eglos myns del ens ha statys]
320.3210:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ an governans] te a 'vith
322.3228:
whath $\left[{ }_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ ow forpos] me a syns
§65. Beunans Meriasek (BM)
2.10-11:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}} 3$ e scole lemmyn y worra] me a vyn heb falladow
4.43-44:
${ }^{[\mathrm{vp}}$ an forth dalleth yredy . . .]
ny a vyn [. . . ha pur vskys]
8.128:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ gul peyadov] my a vyn

You shall have [royal tribute from many lands].

We shall clout [your enemies].

And you shall see [that].

I shall [go to the battle].

I have been [sent to you].

I wish [to be crowned . . . king in Britain, indeed].

I shall set [the crown of Britain] upon your head in the name of Paul.

You shall faithfully uphold [the laws . . . and right for all your subjects, the rights of the church, as many as they are, and the estates].

You shall have [the government].

Still, I shall hold [(to) my purpose].

I shall [send him to school now] without fail.

We shall [set out on way readily . . . and very quickly].

I shall [make prayers].
10.140-141:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ myns may hyllen sur esyes . . .]
ty a vyth [. . . yn pup termyn]
10.170-171:
ha [ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ 'n gvlascor] pur yredy
me a bev ol yn tyan
20.265:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{PP}}$ thymmo vy] wy a ros gvrek
20.352-355:
${ }^{\mathrm{VvP}}$ bones sacris marrek $d u$ a'n order mur thym a plek benitha hedre ven byv] me a garse lowenek
30.522:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ pronter boys] me a garsa
30.529:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ ry dys ordyrs] me a vyn
36.627:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ kerthes i'n tyr] me a vyn
38.652-654:
hag omma $\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ gul . . .] me a vyn
[. . . ryb chapel maria wyn thym oratry]
40.712:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ kerthes heb greff] me a yll
42.720-722:
omma lemen $\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ fondya plays
dre voth ihesu a vercy]
sur me a vyn
44.759:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ tevdar] me a veth gelwys
46.804:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ tus dal] eff a ra sawya
50.870:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ mernans tyn] eff a porthas

You shall be [eased, surely, as much as we can (manage) . . . at all times].

And I possess [the kingdom] very readily, altogether.

You gave a wife [to me].

I would like, gladly, [to be consecrated a knight of God of the order that pleases me greatly as long as ever I may be alive].

I would like [to be a priest].

I shall [give (holy) orders to you].

I shall [walk in the land].

And here I shall [make . . . , by blessed Mary's chapel, an oratory for me].

I can [walk without trouble].

Here, now, surely I shall [found a place through the will of Jesus of mercy].

I am called [Teudar].

He heals [blind people].

He endured [a painful death].
50.894:
ha $\left[{ }_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ the cothmen $]$ me a veth
50.896:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ epscop worthy] me a'th ra
56.978:
arluth $\left[_{D P}\right.$ henna] ny a ra
62.1115:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ ov sywa] eff a levay
62.1118:
[ lues den] eff re lathays
64.1143
[vp chapel guthel] me a vyn
72.1262-1263:
ihesu dyso $\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ ov sperys]
me a gemen dyogel
72.1286:
$\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}}\right.$ gase farwel] me a vyn
76.1342-1343:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ the'n meneth a seraptyn
mones] purguir ny a vyn
82.1437:
$\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ ov cleves] prest wy a weyl
86.1504-1505:
[vp othommek. . .] me a vya
[. . . del welogh the voys sawys]
88.1565:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ wegennov . . .] ny a ra [. . . moy]
90.1583:
${ }^{\mathrm{DP}}$ viij cans] sur me re gavas
90.1586-1587:
${ }_{\text {DP }}$ xj cans flogh . . .] the'th enour
[. . . ha moy] me re ruk kuntel
92.1610-1611:
ha lowen certen $\left[_{\text {Dp }} 3\right.$ eheys
thu'm corff] me a gemersa

And I shall be [your friend].

I shall make you [a worthy bishop].

Lord, we shall do [that].

He has ventured [to follow me].

He has killed [many men].

I shall [make a chapel].

Jesus, I commend to you [my spirit], certainly.

I shall [leave a farewell].

Right truly, we shall [go to the mountain of Soracte].

You shall see [my disease] at once.

I would be [in need . . . of being healed, as you see].

We shall make [more . . . sweetlings].

Surely I have found [800 (children)].

I have gathered [1,100 children . . . and more] for your honour.

And gladly, certainly, I would get [health for my body].
96.1695:
arluth ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ the voth] ny a ra
102.1807-1808:
ha thyso ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ age hanov]
me a leuer pur ylyn
104.1821-1822:
war lergh henna [ ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ begythys . . .]
ty a veth sur [. . . ha golhys]
104.1846-1847:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ ihesu crist pen elyov]
pur thefry me re weleys
108.1888-1889:
ha ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ the borse mes a'th hascra . . .]
me a'm beth [. . . ha'th margh uskis]
108.1903:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ pronter] ef a hevel suyr
110.1918-1919:
bo annyl [ ${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ the quartrona . . .]
oma me a ra [. . . heb let]
116.2029:
ha [DP $n$ beys] eff a ra gasa
120.2101:
$\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ an golovder] me a wor
120.2117-2118:
ha $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ the servont $]$ in certan
me a veth bys venary
122.2136:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ mones dotho] ny a vyn
128.2265:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ mur a throk] prest eff re ruk
134.2333:53
tevdar $\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ drok lor] eff a ra
136.2393-2394:
[ $_{\text {Forcep }}$ pagya mergh es . . .] by my sowle me a glowes [. . . in $3 e \mathrm{pov}$ ]

[^29]Lord, we shall do [your will].

And to you I shall tell [their names] very fairly.

After that, you surely shall be [baptised . . . and washed].

Very certainly, I have seen [Jesus Christ, chief of cures].

And I shall have [your purse from out of your bosom . . . and your swift horse].

He seems (to be) [a priest], surely.

Or else I shall here [quarter you . . .] here, [. . . without delay].

And it shall leave [the world].

I know [the light].

And I shall be [your servant], certainly, for ever. We shall [go to him].

He has always done [much evil].

Teudar, he shall do [evil enough].

I heard, by my soul, [that you were a stable boy. . . in your country].
138.2424:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ drok] thymo ty a russa
142.2475:
${ }_{[D P}$ cryst ha ty] me a thefy
146.2556:
kyn covseff orthys der reff
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ the pesy] me a vynsa
150.2614:
${ }^{\mathrm{VP}}$ guereses] ty a vye
150.2615-2616:
$\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ oll a'th rychyth] me a syns
nebes a'n fa
160.2784:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ den grascyes] ef a veth lel He shall be [a saintly man], truly.
168.2909:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ epscop] eff a veth sacry
168.2926:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] ol ny a assent
168.2930:
[ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ agen attent] why a clov
172.2998-2999:
[ vp agys pesy . . .] me a vyen
[. . . epscop benytha na ven]
184.3181:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ guel plesijs] me a vya I would be [better pleased].
186.3213:
[ vp moys the[' $n]^{54}$ guelfos] me a vyn
186.3224-3225:
$\left[_{\mathrm{DP}} v\right.$ lon bowyn dufunys]
$y$ a depse in $i j$ deth
192.3317-3318:
by my fay $y$ feth wagis
ha $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ henna] wy a clowyth

### 196.3391-3392:

omma ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ pen tarov schylwyn Here I surely shall [offer a whitish bull's head].
offrynnya] sur me a vyn

You would do [ill] to me.

I defy [Christ and you].

Though I speak to you presumptuously, I would [beseech you].

You would be [cured].

I hold [all of your riches] (at the value of) a few beans.

He shall be consecrated [bishop].

We all assent to [that].

You shall hear [our attempt].

I shall [beseech you . . . that I never be a bishop].

I shall [go to the wilderness].

They would eat [five minced beef steers] in two days.

By my faith, there shall be wages, and you shall feel [that].

[^30]202.3488-3490:
$\left[_{\mathrm{vp}}\right.$ the aquyttya . . .]
kyn moys lema
me a ra [. . . gans lendury]
210.3646:
${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ moys the powes] me a vyn
214.3686-3687:
the orthys ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ an carharov]
prest me a den
218.3784:
${ }_{[\mathrm{DP}}$ y flogh] me a gemerays
218.3789-3790:
$\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ an flogh] the'n ymach dyson
me a vyn don eredy
226.3898-3900:
the helghya heb feladov
the prince par del yv dufer
[vp moys] me a vyn
232.4004:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ an dragan] me a ra guan
234.4025:
arluth ${ }_{D P}$ the voth] me a ra
240.4115-4117:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ cavs] thynny eff a vya
in ihesu map marya
the cresy byen ha brays
246.4237-4238:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ kerthes . . .] me a yl
[. . . lemen heb peryl]
246.4253-4254:
${ }^{\mathrm{DP}}$ corff ov arluth] del deleth
hythyv me re recevas
250.4326:
[vp $_{\mathrm{VP}}$ amma thyugh ol] me a vyn
252.4340-4342:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ the volnogeth]
par del deleth
ny a ra snell

Before going hence, I shall [repay you . . .
scrupulously].

I shall [go to rest].

At once, I shall pull [the fetters] off of you.

I took [her child].

I shall carry [the child] at once to the image readily.

As it is a duty for a prince to hunt, without fail, I shall [go].

I shall make [the dragon] weak.

Lord, I shall do [your will].

It would be [cause] for us, small and great, to believe in Jesus, son of Mary.

I can [walk . . . now without danger].

Today, I have received [my Lord's body], as is fitting.

I shall [kiss you all].

We shall do [your will], as is fitting, swiftly.
252.4351:
[ moys 3'y veres] me a vyn
258.4461-4462:
${ }^{\mathrm{Vp} \mathrm{n} \text { neffre'v pesy bo redya }}$
$i$ 'n eglos] eff a vetha
260.4470:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ mones d'eglos] ny a vyn
262.4515-4516:
${ }_{[D P}$ dadder] the lues huny
eff a ruk ze ihesu gras
264.4532-4533:
ha me $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ an benediccon]
a ra oma pur dyson
§66. Gwreans an bys (GB)
4.39-40:
cherubyn $\left[_{D P}\right.$ an vghella]
ty a vyth
4.42:
${ }_{\mathrm{Vp}}$ owe gwerthya] oll why a wra
6.76-77:
hag [ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ ow both gwethill ena]
me a vyn
12.153-154:
[ vp theth honora . . .]
me a vyn [. . . vhan drenges]
14.177:
[ morath] why a's byth ragtha
20.267-268:
$\left[_{D P}\right.$ an place] sure lowre 3 a warta me a wyth whath ro'm lowta
30.380-381:
ha [ ${ }_{\text {AP }}$ subiect ankowe] dretha
te a vyth predar henna
32.394:
[ ${ }_{D P}$ benyn] hy a vyth henwys

I shall [go to visit him].

He would be [praying or reading in the church always].

We shall [go to church].

He did [good] to many a one, thanks (be) to Jesus.
'And here I shall make [the benediction] forthwith'.

Cherubin, you shall be [the highest].

You shall all [worship me].

And I shall [do my will there].

I shall [honour you . . . above the Trinity].

You shall have [grief] for it.

I shall keep [the place], sure enough, from him yet, by my faith.

And you shall be [subject (to) death] thereby, think (on) that.

She shall be named [woman].
34.415:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ aga sona] me a wra
50.597 \& 52.622 \& 643:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{AP}}$ maga fure] te a vea
52.627-629:
[DP henna] me a levar theis I tell [that] to you.
60.721-722:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ tha adam kerras pur greyf . . .]
me a vyn [. . . th'e sallugye]
68.831-832:
tast gy part a'n avallowe
po $\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ ow harenga] ty a gyll
70.846-847:
drevon bew [ ${ }_{D P}$ ow harenga]
ty a 'vyth bys venarye
74.882-883:
in wheys [ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ lavyr tha thybbry . . .]
ty a wra [. . . bys y'th worffan]
74.893-894:
$\left[_{A P}\right.$ nefra gostyth] th'y gorty
me a ordayne bos benyn
90.1080:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ mos the'n menythe] me a vyn
96.1144-1145:55
ny won arluthe dyhogall
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ henna] te a wore yn ta
98.1179:
$\left[_{\mathrm{DP}}\right.$ token] warnas me a wra
100.1200-1202:
ow molath theis rag henna
ha $\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ molath tha vabm] ganso
te a 'vith sure maga ta
106.1277-1279:
ow molath the3o pub preys
ha $\left[_{D P}\right.$ molath tha dase $]$ keffrys
te a 'vyth i'n gyth ha noos

I shall [bless them].

You would be [as wise].

I shall [walk boldly to Adam . . . to greet him].

Taste a part of the apples, or you shall lose [my love].

As long as I live, you shall have [my love] for ever.

In sweat, you shall [labour to eat . . . until your end].

I ordain that woman shall be [ever obedient] to her husband.

I shall [go to the mountain].

I do not know, Lord, certainly; you know [that] well.

I shall make [a sign] upon you.

My curse upon you for that, and you shall surely have [the curse of your mother] with it, as well.

My curse upon you always, and you shall have [the curse of your father], too, in the day and night.

[^31]106.1285-1286:
rag henna ${ }_{\mathrm{VP}}$ mos a lemma] Therefore, I shall [go hence], I do not know where. me a vyn ny won py lea
108.1313-1314:
ha $\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ carnall joye] i'n bys ma
ny a vyn warbarthe naha
116.1405-1407:
ny vyth seans vyth i'n beys
mes $\left[_{\mathrm{Vp}} y\right.$ aswon . . .] ev a wra
[. . . der an planantis meas a chy]
122.1498:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ cayne] me a vyth henwys
140.1735-1736:
na thowt $\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ gorryb] ty a 'vyth
oll a'th negys
152.1889:
[ ${ }_{\text {AP }}$ pur lowan] me a vea
156.1945-1946:
ha $[$ vp theth chardgya . . .] me a ra
[. . . in dan ow bannethe pur leall]
160.1985-1987:
yn weth $\left[{ }_{D P}\right.$ dewthak warnugans
a virhas in pur thibblans]
ny a'n be heb tull na gyll
182.2258:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ rowmys] y a vythe henwys
182.2263-2264:
ha [ ${ }_{\text {DP }}$ hantercans kevellen]
inweth te a wra yn leas
182.2265-2266:
ha $\left[_{D P}\right.$ 'n vheldar] me a vyn
deag warnygans may fo gwryes
182.2269:
$\left[_{\text {DP }}\right.$ jystes] dretha ty a pyn You shall pin [joists] through it.
184.2273-2274: ${ }^{56}$
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{vp}}$ aga gorra . . .] ty a wra
They shall be called [rooms].

And also you shall make (it) [50 cubits] in breadth.
[. . . in tha lester a bervathe]

[^32]184.2279-2280:
arluthe kref [ DP $^{\text {tha }}$ arhadowe] Mighty Lord, I shall do [your command].
me a ra
198.2466:
[ ${ }_{\mathrm{DP}}$ neb caryn] hy a gafas It found [some carrion].
200.2486:
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{vP}}$ gwethill alter] me a vydn I shall [make an altar].
202.2504-2505:
$\left[_{\mathrm{VP}}\right.$ remembra ahanaw why] I shall [remember you] for ever.
me a wra bys venarye

## GRAMMATICAL ABBREVIATIONS

AddrP = Address Phrase; ADV = adverbial particle; AdvP = Adverb Phrase; AFF = affirmative particle; AP = Adjective Phrase; COND = conditional; DEF = definite; DIST = distal; DP = Determiner Phrase; F = feminine; FUT = future; IMPF = imperfect; IMPS = impersonal; IMPV = imperative; M = masculine; MPVAC = multiple prev-verbal arguments construction; NEG = negator; OBJ = object; OPT = optative particle; PERF = perfective particle; PL = plural; POSS = possessive; PP = Prepositional Phrase; PRES = present; PRET = preterite; PROX = proximate; REL = relative; $\mathrm{SG}=$ singular; SUBJ = subjunctive; TP = Tense Phrase; V-ADJ = verbal adjective; VN = verbal noun; VP = Verb Phrase; XP = any Phrase; \& = connective.

## TEXTUAL ABBREVIATIONS

| BK | $=$ | Thomas \& Williams 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BM | = | Stokes 1872 |
| BR | = | Richards 1948 |
| BSC | = | Ernault 1887a |
| BSG | = | Widmer \& Jørgensen 2011 |
| BSN | = | Ernault 1887b |
| CE | = | Williams, Everson, \& Kent 2020: 156-159 (apparatus) |
| GB | = | Neuss 1983 |
| OM | = | Norris 1859: i 1-219 |
| PA | = | Stokes 1860-1861 |
| PC | = | Norris 1859: i 221-479 |
| PKM | = | Williams 1951 |
| RD | $=$ | Norris 1859: ii 1-201 |
| YGuE | = | Thomson 1997 |
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[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$. Quotations from Cornish sources follow the orthographies of the cited editions with the following modifications, all of which are made silently: (a) in a few cases in which the published edition does not agree with our reading of the mansucript source, we emend the text to reflect the form in the manuscript; (b) all contractions and suspensions have been expanded; (c) we have modified the use of word divisions, apostrophes, hyphenation, and capitalisation so that the the same system is employed for all texts. Clitic particles and pronominals are written separately from their host words, save for some cases in which a verbal particle or a portion thereof is conjoined to a form of bos 'be', e.g., y thoma 'I $a m$ ' < $y$ th $o(f) m a$, or when an enclitic pronoun can be interpreted as part of a personal ending of a conjugated verb or preposition, e.gg.,. thynny 'to us', y thoma 'I am'. Apostrophes are inserted to indicate the internal structure of contracted forms, e.g., y'n for the prep. $y n$ 'in' + definite article, or to indicate the elision of the object agreement morpheme in 2 . sg. 'you have', ty a 'fyth < *ty a'th fyth. All characters have been printed in lower case, since there is little consistency in capitalisation practice amongst the texts. Hyphens supplied by previous editors have been removed or replaced by spaces or apostrophes as appropriate.

    We sometimes provide analyses of the Cornish text that differ from those in our source editions, and translations by editors are altered for clarity as we see fit.
    ${ }^{3}$. Stokes 1860-1861, the edition of Pascon agan Arluth that we employ, prints the text in stanzas of four lines, each of which represents two lines of the poem. We cite the text by stanza number, line in Stokes' edition, and suprascript ${ }^{\text {a }}$ or ${ }^{b}$ to indicate the first or second half of Stokes’ line; thus $\$ 173.1^{\text {ab }}$ represents both halves of 1.1 in stanza 173 . We also cite the line numbers were the text arranged in eight-line stanzas.
    ${ }^{4}$. N . B. that the affirmative particle appears as $a$ when the fronted XP is a subject or direct object, as $y(t h)$ when it is an adverb(ial), in earlier texts. George 1991: 235-236 describes a change to this system in Beunans Meriasek. For etymological discussion of these particles, see Schrijver 1997: 159-165.
    ${ }^{5 .}$ N. B. that when an object or adverb(ial) is fronted and the subject is pronominal, the verb is conjugated so as to agree with the pronoun.

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$. The text is garbled. For the manuscript reading maraw rys gvylfyth ytter at BK 314.3149, Thomas \& Williams 2006 reconstruct:

[^3]:    ${ }^{9}$. We follow Borsley, Tallerman, \& Willis 2007: 291 in assuming that the verb raises into the left periphery and adjoins to the affirmative particle. We take this position to be Fin.
    ${ }^{10}$. For the view that the V2 phenomenon is driven by phonological requirements, see Richards 2016: 127-138 \& 285-289.
    ${ }^{11}$. Holmberg 2015: 347 proposes that the Edge Feature is only satisfied by movement into SpecFinP (though he does allow for exceptions at 351 ). Wolfe 2020: $351^{5}$ says that this is not 'self-evident' for medieval Romance. This may be the case in medieval Brittonic, as well.

[^4]:    ${ }^{12}$. The evidence is very slight, but we suggest that the loss of the affirmative particle in Late Cornish may have allowed the language to start moving towards SVO configuration under the influence of English

[^5]:    (Eska \& Bruch 2020: 330-331).
    ${ }^{13}$. For a contrastive study in the Romance languages between 'strict' V2 in later Old French and 'relaxed' V2 in Old Occitan, see Wolfe 2018. For a typologically-oriented study of V2 in the medieval Romance languages, see Wolfe 2020.
    ${ }^{14 .}$ Owing to poetic overdetermination, the inflected verb can appear in as late as seventh position in the clause in Middle Cornish verse; cf. BM 42.720-722: [omma] [lemen] [fondya plays] / [dre voth ihesu a vercy] / [sur] [me] a vyn 'Here, now, surely I will found a place through the will of Jesus of mercy'.

[^6]:    ${ }^{15}$. Unpronounced copies are indicated by the strikethrough line, thus, tus.

[^7]:    16. One recalls here the 'теорию организованного насилия поэтической формы над языком’ (theory of organised violence of poetic form over [natural] language) of Jakobson 1923: 16.
    ${ }^{17}$. Such poetic lines are also attested in Middle Welsh and Middle Breton; see Eska 2020: 47-48 for examples.
    17. The object agreement clitic continues an etymological genitive pronoun. For the present analysis employing Modern Welsh data, see Borsley, Tallerman, \& Willis 2007: 70-75.
    18. Cf. Harlos, Poppe, \& Widmer 2014: 132, who note that, in Middle Welsh, since only stressed subject personal pronouns can appear in the left periphery of the clause, the possibility for ambiguity in determining their pragmatic role is eliminated. This constraint, likewise, holds for Middle Cornish.
[^8]:    ${ }^{20}$. For the full range of contexts in which stressed direct object personal pronouns are employed in the 'Middle' Brittonic languages, see Evans 1964: 49-50 for Middle Welsh, Hemon 1975: 72-73 for Middle Breton, and Lewis 1946: 27-28 $\equiv$ 1990: 26-27 for Middle Cornish; cf. also Williams, Everson, \& Kent 2020: 44-45 for Middle Cornish.
    ${ }^{21}$. There is a much less common alternative in which the imperative verb follows an affirmative particle and a clitic object agreement marker is employed, e.g.:

[^9]:    ${ }^{22 .}$ Cornish, like Breton, but unlike Welsh, never developed subject-verb agreement. This is a result of the origin of medieval Brittonic V2 from a cleft structure (Schrijver 1997: 165-172; Manning 2001; Willis 2010: 146-147; Currie 2015: 20; Meelen 2020; Eska 2020: 44-47).

[^10]:    ${ }^{23 .}$ As we will call it, noting that other complements that may move into the left periphery via the syntax are included.

[^11]:    ${ }^{24}$. Had henna been fronted, the resulting clause would have been ellas henna a won $v y$, which, of course, would not have enabled the required rhyme.

[^12]:    ${ }^{25}$. The tokens in our corpus are collected in $\S 57$.

[^13]:    ${ }^{26}$ Fronted discontinuous XPs are not uncommon in the Middle Cornish verse corpus. See $\S 35$ for more on discontinuous XPs in the MPVAC.

[^14]:    ${ }^{27}$ N. B. that ens rhymes with syns and kyns. It likely was written as 〈yns〉 at the time of the composition of Bewnans $K e$, but orthographic practice had changed by the time that the manuscript was copied. The variation between orthographic $\langle\mathrm{e}\rangle$ and $\langle\mathrm{y}\rangle$ is common in Middle Cornish texts, e.gg., syns and kyns are also attested as sens (e.g., CE 159.35) and kens (e.g., PA $76 \$ 254.2^{\text {b }}=2030$ ), respectively.
    ${ }^{28}$. These include the five tokens of the MPVAC in Beunans Meriasek that George 1991: 216 notes 'are [not] dependent on the rhyme'. On this basis, he regards it as a construction generated by the grammar, and, thus, an innovation of Middle Cornish (George 1990: 229-230 \& 239-240).
    ${ }^{29}$. We note that the clause in (70a) is potentially corrupt.

[^15]:    30. Only after we had completed our work did we find that Chaudhri 2000: 7-13 \& 43-44 had come to similar conclusions. This opinion is not shared by all scholars: Williams 2011: 336 finds Tregear's text to be written 'in fairly colloquial prose' and describes its morphology and syntax as 'perfect' (2011: 338).
[^16]:    ${ }^{31}$ Line number counts are after Bruch 2005: 464.
    ${ }^{32}$ E.gg., 36 lines according to Toorians 1991, 41 lines according to Williams, Everson, \& Kent 2020: 156-159.
    ${ }^{33}$. Slocum's view accounts for the fact that vocatives can occur in medial position in the clause, e.g.:

[^17]:    ${ }^{34}$. N . B. that the affirm. ptcl. a regularly does not appear before certain forms of mos 'go'.

[^18]:    ${ }^{35}$. Which normally would be guthel chapel in (54a) and bos pur lowenek fest in (54b).

[^19]:    ${ }^{36}$. We do not count BK 64.627-628 because the fronted indirect object moves as part of the VP:

[^20]:    ${ }^{37}$. Dates are given after Schrijver 2011: 360-364.

[^21]:    38. A small sample of prose, to be sure, as a reviewer points out.
    ${ }^{39}$. We are grateful to a reviewer for pointing out to us that a pret and bet also provide a required internal rhyme.
[^22]:    ${ }^{40}$ See §§49-50 for commentary on the phonology of the final vowel of the fronted verbal noun in this potential token.

[^23]:    ${ }^{41 .}$ See $\$ \$ 52-54$ for commentary on the phonology of the vowel of the final syllable of the fronted noun in this token．
    ${ }^{\text {42．}}$ See $\S 55$ for commentary on the phonology of the final vowel of the fronted verbal noun in this token．
    ${ }^{43}$ ．See $\S 51$ for commentary on the phonology of the vowel of the final syllable of the fronted verbal noun in this token．
    ${ }^{44}$ ．See $\S 56$ for commentary on the phonology of the vowel of the final syllable of the fronted noun in this token．

[^24]:    ${ }^{45}$ ．Bruch intends to publish on this topic in the near future．

[^25]:    ${ }^{46}$ ．We do not here collect cases in which simply reördering two lines would undo the multiple pre－ver－ bal arguments construction．For example，we find the following lines at PC 230．113－114：

[^26]:    48. Plural -s is supplied by Stokes 1860-1861: 37.
[^27]:    ${ }^{49} \mathrm{~N}$. B. that the affirmative particle regularly does not appear before certain forms of mos ' go '.

[^28]:    ${ }^{51}$.The line as written is metrically deficient. We restore the affirm. ptcl. $a$ to yield a heptasyllabic line.
    52. This translation is that of Thomas \& Williams 2007: 69. The form afrvthow is not otherwise attested in Middle Cornish. The part of the manuscript in which it occurs was copied from a damaged exem-

[^29]:    ${ }^{53}$ N. B. that tevdar is a hanging topic.

[^30]:    ${ }^{\text {54. The suspension stroke is missing in the manuscript. }}$

[^31]:    55. We understand henna to be the object of wore rather than won, against Stokes 1863: 92, but with Neuss 1983: 96, since enjambment is rare in Middle Cornish verse.
[^32]:    ${ }^{56}$. This is another line that continues a line in Origo mundi (OM 74.991). As with GB 182.2258, it is likely that the line is preserved in the form that it takes in Origo mundi.

